Corey Fagundes

(CDE use only)								
Application #								

No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 SINGLE SCHOOL DISTRICT PLAN

To meet the requirements of the Local Educational Agency Plan and Single Plan for Student Achievement

mail original* and two copies to:	California Departn School and Distric 1430 N Street, Sui Sacramento, Calif	ct Accountability Division te 6208								
(*subsequent amendments are approved by local board and kept on file; do not submit to CDE)										
SSD Plan Information:										
Name of Local Educational Agency	(LEA): El Nido Eleme	ntary School District								
County/District Code: 24/65680										
Dates of Plan Duration (should be fir	ve-year plan): 2014-2(015 to 2019-2020								
Date of Local Governing Board App	roval: June 16, 2015									
District Superintendent: Rae Ann Jimenez										
Address: 161 East El Nido Road										
City: El Nido		Zip code: 95317								
Phone: (209) 385-8420		Fax: (209) 723-9169								
Signatures (Signatures must be original	al. Please use blue ink.)									
The superintendent and governin behalf of all participants included		submitting the application sign on f the plan.								
Rae Ann Jimenez	Date	Signature of Superintendent								

Date

Signature of Board President

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPIC PAGE

Part I -	Background	and	Overview
----------	------------	-----	----------

Background	5
Descriptions of the Consolidated Application, the Local Educational Agency Plan, the Single Plan for Student Achievement, and the Categorical Program Monitoring Process	6
Development Process for the Single School District (SSD) Plan	8
Planning Checklist for SSD Plan Development	13
Federal and State Programs Checklist	14
District Budget for Federal Programs	15
District Budget for State Programs	16
<u>Part II</u> – The Plan	
Needs AssessmentsAcademic Achievement Professional Development and Hiring School Safety	18
Descriptions – Program Planning	19
District Profile	20
Local Measures of Student Performance	21
Performance Goal 1	22
Performance Goal 2	26
Performance Goal 3	33
Performance Goal 4	37

Performance Goal 5	51
Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions	52
Part III – Assurances and Attachments	
Assurances	60
Signatures	68
School Site Council Recommendations and Assurances	69
Appendix Appendix A: California's NCLB Performance Goals and Performance Indicators	70
Appendix B: Links to Data Web sites	72
Appendix C: Science-Based ProgramsAppendix D: Research-based Activities	
Appendix E: Promising or Favorable Programs	
Appendix F: Sample School and Student Performance Data Forms	79

Part I Background and Overview

Background

Descriptions of the Consolidated Application, the Local Educational Agency Plan, the Single Plan for Student Achievement, and the Categorical Program Monitoring Process

Development Process for the Single School District Plan

Single School District Plan Planning Checklist

Federal and State Programs Checklist

District Budget for Federal and State Programs

Background

The No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 embodies four key principles:

- stronger accountability for results;
- greater flexibility and local control for states, school districts, and schools in the use of federal funds;
- enhanced parental choice for parents of children from disadvantaged backgrounds; and
- a focus on what works, emphasizing teaching methods that have been demonstrated to be effective.

(Text of the legislation can be found at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/fr/.)

In May 2002, California's State Board of Education (SBE) demonstrated the state's commitment to the development of an accountability system to achieve the goals of NCLB by adopting five **Performance Goals**:

- 1. All students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading and mathematics, by 2013-14.
- 2. All limited-English-proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- 3. By 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
- 4. All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drugfree, and conducive to learning.
- 5. All students will graduate from high school.

In addition, 12 performance indicators linked to those goals were adopted (see Appendix A), as specified by the U.S. Department of Education (ED). Performance targets, developed for each indicator, were adopted by the SBE in May 2003.

Collectively, NCLB's goals, along with the performance indicators and targets, constitute California's framework for federal accountability. This framework provides the basis for the state's improvement efforts, informing policy decisions by SBE, and implementation efforts by the California Department of Education (CDE) to fully realize the system envisioned by NCLB. It also provides a basis for coordination with California's Legislature and the Governor's Office.

Since 1995, California has been building an educational system consisting of five major components:

- rigorous academic standards
- standards-aligned instructional materials
- standards-based professional development
- standards-aligned assessment
- an accountability structure that measures school effectiveness in light of student achievement

As a result, California is well positioned to implement the tenets of NCLB.

State and federally funded initiatives aimed at improving student achievement must complement each other and work in tandem in order to have the greatest impact. In California, the state and federal consolidated applications, competitive grants, the state accountability system, the Categorical Program Monitoring process, the single **plan for student achievement**, **local educational agency (LEA) plans**, professional development opportunities, and technical assistance all are moving toward a level of alignment and streamlining. The result of this consolidation will be a cohesive, comprehensive, and focused effort for supporting and improving the state's lowest-performing schools and appropriate reporting mechanisms.

Descriptions of the Consolidated Application, the Local Education Agency Plan, the Single Plan for Student Achievement, and Categorical Program Monitoring

In order to meet legislative requirements for specific state and federal programs and funding, California currently employs four major processes: the Consolidated State Application, the Local Educational Agency Plan, the school-level Single Plan for Student Achievement, and Categorical Program Monitoring. California is moving toward more closely coordinating and streamlining these processes to eliminate redundancies and make them less labor intensive for LEAs, while continuing to fulfill all requirements outlined in state and federal law.

Below is a brief description of the ways in which these various processes currently are used in California.

The Consolidated Application (ConApp)

The ConApp is the *fiscal* mechanism used by the California Department of Education to distribute categorical funds from various state and federal programs to county offices, school districts, and charter schools throughout California. Annually, in June, each LEA submits Part I of the ConApp to document participation in these programs and provide assurances that the district will comply with the legal requirements of each program. Program entitlements are determined by formulas contained in the laws that created the programs.

Part II of the ConApp is submitted in the fall of each year. It contains the district entitlements for each funded program. Out of each state and federal program entitlement, districts allocate funds for indirect costs of administration, for programs operated by the district office, and for programs operated at schools.

The Local Educational Agency Plan (LEA) Plan

The approval of the LEA Plan by the local school board and the State Board of Education is a requirement for receiving federal funding subgrants for NCLB programs. The LEA Plan includes specific descriptions and assurances as outlined in the provisions included in NCLB. In essence, LEA Plans describe the actions that LEAs will take to ensure that they meet certain **programmatic** requirements, including student academic services designed to increase student achievement and performance, coordination of services, needs assessments, consultations, school choice, supplemental educational services, services to homeless students, and other services as required. In addition, LEA Plans summarize assessment data, school goals and activities from the *Single Plans for Student Achievement* developed by the LEAs schools.

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

State law requires that school-level plans for programs funded through the Consolidated Application be consolidated in a *Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)* (*Education Code* Section 64001), developed by school site councils with the advice of any applicable school advisory committees. LEAs allocate NCLB funds to schools through the Consolidated Application for Title I, Part A, Title III (Limited English Proficient), and Title V (Innovative Programs/Parental Choice). LEAs may elect to allocate other funds to schools for inclusion in school plans. The content of the school plan includes school goals, activities, and expenditures for improving the academic performance of students to the proficient level and above. The plan delineates the actions that are required for program implementation and serves as the school's guide in evaluating progress toward meeting the goals.

Role of School Site Council

The California *Education Code* (*EC*)¹ requires the school site council to develop a SPSA for ConApp programs operated at the school or in which the school participates. In addition, Pupil Retention² and School and Library Improvement Block Grant programs³ operated at the school must be included in the SPSA. The school site council must approve the plan, recommend it to the local governing board for approval, monitor implementation of the plan, and evaluate the results. At least annually, the school site council must revise the plan, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, and recommend it to the local governing board for approval.

Composition of School Site Council

Composition of the school site council is specified in the California *Education Code* as follows:

- The school site council shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school;⁴ parents of students attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, students selected by students attending the school.
- At the elementary level, the school site council shall be constituted to
 ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other
 school personnel; and (b) parents or other community members selected
 by parents. In schools with fewer than three teachers, this requirement
 may be met by establishing a school site council that is composed of
 equal numbers of school staff and parents or other community members
 selected by parents.
- At the secondary level, the school site council shall be constituted to
 ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other
 school personnel, and (b) equal numbers of parents or other community
 members selected by parents and students.
- At both the elementary and secondary levels, classroom teachers shall comprise the majority of persons represented under subdivision (a) of this section.

¹ EC Section 64001(a), (d)

² EC Section 41507

³ *EC* Section 41572

⁴ For example, counselors, psychologists, social workers, nurses, instructional aides, library personnel, and clerks employed at the school

Categorical Program Monitoring (CPM)

State and federal law require CDE to monitor the implementation of categorical programs operated by local educational agencies. This state-level oversight is accomplished in part by conducting on-site reviews of eighteen such programs implemented by local schools and districts. Through CPM, the state monitors one-fourth of all school districts each year. Monitoring visits are conducted by state staff and local administrators trained to review one or more of these programs. The purpose of the review is to verify *compliance* with requirements of each categorical program, and to ensure that program funds are spent to increase student achievement and performance.

Development Process for the Single School District (SSD) Plan

LEAs must develop a single, coordinated, and comprehensive plan that describes the educational services for all students that can be used to guide implementation of federal- and state-funded programs, the allocation of resources, and reporting requirements. The development of such a plan involves a continuous cycle of assessment, parent and community involvement, planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. The duration of the Plan should be five years. *The Plan should be periodically reviewed and updated as needed, but at least once each year.*

This SSD Plan template is an effort to further align and streamline the planning requirements for single school districts, which includes direct-funded charter schools. This document incorporates the required elements of both the SPSA and the LEA Plan. Use of this template meets all state and federal requirements for plans for categorical programs. More detailed information regarding the SPSA can be found at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/singleplan.asp. The complete guide and template for the LEA Plan can be found at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/.

In developing the SSD Plan, the SSD will review its demographics, test results, performance, and resources. Given that the majority of such information is readily available in the School Accountability Report Card (SARC), the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) performance results, the Academic Performance Index (API) results, and other data sources, the SSD will find the data easy to access via the Internet. (See Appendix B for links to each of the web sites containing student and staff demographic information, SARC, STAR, and API data.) The SSD is expected to gather and review information from these resources and use it to inform the planning process.

The SSD Plan can serve as a summary of all existing state and federal programs and establish a focus for raising the academic performance of all student groups to achieve state academic standards. In the context of this Plan, improvements in instruction, professional development, course offerings, and counseling and prevention programs are means of achieving specific academic and support services goals for all groups of

students, including identified under-performing student groups. State and federal laws require that school site administrators, teachers, and parents from the SSD (which includes direct-funded charter schools) must be consulted in the planning, development, and revision of the SSD Plan.

The SSD Plan can be completed through the use of a single template following the six steps outlined below. Because the SSD Plan combines the LEA Plan with the SPSA, it becomes the responsibility of the school site council to develop, approve and recommend the SSD Plan to the local governing board for approval.

Step One: Measure the Effectiveness of Current Improvement Strategies

Analyze Student Performance

Conduct a comprehensive data analysis of student achievement, including multiple measures of student performance. Identify all relevant assessments and apply thoughtful analyses of current educational practices to establish benchmarks aimed at raising academic performance for all students, especially identified student groups.

Tables of data for your schools and district are available online:

- API Reports http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap
- Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) data http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr
- LEA Accountability Reports of Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs) for English learners - http://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/el/t3/acct.asp
- Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Reports http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay

Analyze Current Educational Practices, Professional Development, Staffing, and Parental Involvement

Identify, review, and analyze data and related information on factors such as educational practices, parent and community involvement, professional development, support services, and resources that have an impact on student learning.

Over the past several years, CDE has developed several self-assessment tools that schools and districts can use to evaluate these factors and others needed to support academic student achievement:

 The Academic Program Survey (APS) – school-level survey of status of implementation of the nine essential program components (not required for single school districts)

- District Assistance Survey (DAS) district-level survey of status of implementation of nine essential program components
- Least Restrictive Environment Assessment examines educational practices for students with disabilities
- English Learner Subgroup Self Assessment (ELSSA) designed to improve outcomes for English learners

These tools can be found under Improvement Tools in the Virtual Library on the CDE web site at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/lp/vl/improvtools.asp.

(See Part II, Needs Assessment, for further details.)

Step Two: Seek Input from Staff, Advisory Committees, and Community Members

The school site council must seek the input of teachers, administrators, councils, committees, parents, and community members (e.g., school health council, committees for Limited English Proficient students, state compensatory education, gifted and talented education, special education, etc.). The most effective plans are those supported by the entire LEA community. The integration of existing program plans, such as Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program, High Priority Schools Grant Program, Alternative Education Programs, Focus on Learning: Secondary School Accreditation, and others does not eliminate any program requirements. The combined process must include the requirements of every program involved.

Step Three: Develop or Revise Performance Goals

Using the five NCLB performance goals and indicators (see Appendix A), develop local performance targets that are: a) derived from school and student subgroup performance data and analysis of related, scientifically based educational practices; b) attainable in the period specified in this Plan and consistent with statewide targets for all students and subgroups; c) specific to the participants (i.e., students, teachers, administrators, paraprofessionals); and d) measurable.

Step Four: Revise Improvement Strategies and Expenditures

For all categorical programs, identify the participants, expected performance gains, and means of evaluating gains. Indicate specific improvements and practical monitoring of their implementation and effectiveness.

Identify available resources. Aside from fiscal resources available through federal and state funding, programmatic resources are available on the CDE Web site at http://www.cde.ca.gov. The ConApp provides funding for the following programs: Title I, Parts A and D; Title II, Part A; Title III, Part A; Title IV, Part A; Title V, Part A; Title VI, subpart 2 (Rural Low-Income); School Safety and Violence Prevention; Cal-SAFE; Peer

Assistance Review; Tobacco-Use Prevention; Economic Impact Aid; and Middle and High School Supplemental Counseling Program.

Step Five: Local Governing Board Approval

The SSD Plan must be approved by the local governing board prior to submittal to CDE. Ensure that all required signatures are affixed. The SSD Plan must be reviewed and updated annually, and all subsequent amendments should be approved by the local governing board and kept on file with the original SSD Plan.

Step Six: Monitor Implementation

To verify achievement of performance targets, monitor areas such as: a) assignment and training of highly qualified staff; b) identification of participants; c) implementation of services; d) provision of materials and equipment; e) initial and ongoing assessment of performance; and f) progress made toward establishing a safe learning environment.

The analysis of data (student, school-wide, support services, professional development) is part of the ongoing program monitoring and evaluation. When results are **not** as expected, it may be helpful to consider the following: a) How are performance targets and activities based on student performance and factual assessment of current educational practices? b) How educationally sound is the Plan to help reach the targets? c) How timely and effectively is the Plan being implemented? d) If the Plan has not been implemented as written, what were the obstacles to implementation?

You may use the checklist on the next page to indicate planning steps as they are completed.

PLANNING CHECKLIST FOR SSD PLAN DEVELOPMENT

(Optional)

✓	SSD Plan – Comprehensive Planning Process Steps
x	Measure effectiveness of current improvement strategies
х	Seek input from staff, advisory committees, and community members
x	3. Develop or revise performance goals
x	Revise improvement strategies and expenditures
х	5. Local governing board approval
х	6. Monitor Implementation

FEDERAL AND STATE PROGRAMS CHECKLIST

Check ($\sqrt{}$) all applicable programs operated by the LEA. In the "other" category, list any additional programs that are reflected in this Plan.

	Federal Programs	State Programs				
Х	Title I, Part A		Economic Impact Aid (EIA) – State Compensatory Education			
	Title I, Part B, Even Start	LCF F	EIA – Limited English Proficient			
	Title I, Part C, Migrant Education	Х	After-School Education and Safety Programs			
	Title I, Part D, Neglected/Delinquent	LCF F	School and Library Improvement Block Grant			
Х	Title II, Part A, Subpart 2, Improving Teacher Quality		Child Development Programs			
	Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology		Educational Equity			
Х	Title III, Limited English Proficient		Gifted and Talented Education			
	Title III, Immigrants		High Priority Schools Grant Program			
	Title IV, Part A, Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities		Tobacco Use Prevention Education (Prop 99)			
	Title V, Part A, Innovative Programs – Parental Choice		Immediate Intervention/ Under performing Schools Program			
	Adult Education	LCF F	School Safety and Violence Prevention Act (AB1113, AB 658)			
	Career Technical Education		Healthy Start			
	McKinney-Vento Homeless Education		Dropout Prevention and Recovery Act: School Based Pupil Motivation and Maintenance Program (SB 65)			
	Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), Special Education	LCF F	English Language Acquisition Program			
	21 st Century Community Learning Centers	LCF F	Community Based English Tutoring			
	Other (describe):	LCF F	Art/Music Block Grant			
	Other (describe):		School Gardens			
	Other (describe):		Other (describe):			
	Other (describe):		Other (describe):			

DISTRICT BUDGET FOR FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Please complete the following table with information for your district.

Programs	Prior Year District Carryovers	Current Year District Entitlements	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (\$)	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (%)
Title I, Part A	0	44,154	40630.51	92.02%
Title I, Part B, Even Start				
Title I, Part C, Migrant Education				
Title I, Part D, Neglected/Delinquent				
Title II Part A, Subpart 2, Improving Teacher Quality	0	14,453.	12,890.	92.02%
Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology				
Title III, Limited English Proficient	855.	10,608.	11,463	100%
Title III, Immigrants				
Title IV, Part A, Safe and Drug-free Schools and Communities				
Title V, Part A, Innovative Programs – Parental Choice				
Adult Education				
Career Technical Education				
McKinney-Vento Homeless Education				
IDEA, Special Education	0	14,838.	13,653.93	92.02%
21 st Century Community Learning Centers				
Other (describe)		11,492.	10,574.94	92.02%
REAP				
TOTAL	855	95,545.	89,212.38	
			1	

DISTRICT BUDGET FOR STATE PROGRAMS

Please complete the following table with information for your district.

Categories	Prior Year District Carryovers	Current Year District Entitlements	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (\$)	Current Year Direct Services to Students at School Sites (%)
EIA – State Compensatory Education	8,366.24	LCFF	8,123.24	97%
EIA – Limited English Proficient				
School and Library Improvement Block Grant		LCFF		
After School Education and Safety Program		148,500	141,075	95%
Child Development Programs				
Educational Equity				
Gifted and Talented Education				
Tobacco Use Prevention Education – (Prop. 99)				
High Priority Schools Grant Program (HPSG)				
School Safety and Violence Prevention Act (AB 1113)		LCFF		
Healthy Start				
Dropout Prevention and Recovery Act: School-based Pupil Motivation and Maintenance Program (SB 65)				
English Language Acquisition Program		LCFF		
Community Based English Tutoring		LCFF		
Other (describe)				
TOTAL	8,366.24	148,500.	149,198.24	

Part II The Plan

Needs Assessments
Academic Achievement
Professional Development and Hiring
School Safety

Descriptions – Program Planning
District Profile
Local Measures of Student Performance
Performance Goal 1
Performance Goal 2
Performance Goal 3
Performance Goal 4
Performance Goal 5
Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

Needs Assessment

The passage of NCLB imposes a number of significant new requirements on LEAs as conditions for funding provided at the state and local levels. Among these are reporting requirements designed to facilitate accountability for improving **student academic performance**, **teacher quality**, and **school safety**. As such, a needs assessment to determine strengths and weaknesses in these areas must be conducted.

In determining specific areas of need to be addressed in the SSD Plan, the SSD should review its demographics, test results, and resources. The majority of such information is readily available on the SSD's School Accountability Report Card (SARC), the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) performance results, the California English Language Development Test (CELDT) results, the Academic Performance Index (API) results, California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS), DataQuest, and other data sources. This data is easily accessible via the Internet (see Appendix B for links to each of the Web sites that contain student and staff demographic information, SARC, STAR, CELDT, and API data). The SSD is expected to gather and review its own information from these resources to determine strengths and needs and to shape the planning process.

The Sample School and Student Performance Data Forms provided with the suggested template for the SPSA are also attached to this document in Appendix F. These forms are provided for convenience only; their use is not required. The forms simply provide a suggested format for arraying the data that needs to be reviewed.

Academic Performance

The needs assessment should include a focus on the academic areas highlighted in California's Performance Goals 1, 2, 3, and 5 (see Appendix A for a full listing of all of California's Performance Goals and Indicators), including:

- Statewide standards, assessment, and accountability
- Local assessments and accountability
- Coordination and integration of federal and state educational programs
- The LEA academic assessment plan

El Nido Elementary School District Needs Assessment

El Nido Elementary School actively uses data to drive instruction. Teachers adjust instruction based on state and local assessments.

English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

	All Students			White			Hispanic			English Learner			Socioeconomic Disadvantaged		
	10-11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13
# At or Above Prof.	53	52	57	15	14	12	35	36	43	35	34	22	53	52	57
Percent At or Above Prof.	49.5	50.0	42.9	71.4	70.0	54.5	42.7	43.9	39.8	43.8	43.6	30.6	49.5	50.0	42.9
AYP Target	67%	78.4 %	89.2												
Met AYP Target	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
			1		ı	1					ı	1			

Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

	All Students			White			Hispanic			English Learner			Socioeconomic Disadvantaged		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13
# At or Above Prof.	67	59	66	16	13	13	48	44	50	47	40	33	67	59	66
Percent At or Above Prof.	62.0	56.7	49.6	72.7	65.0	59.1	58.5	53.7	46.3	58.8	51.3	45.8	62.0	56.7	49.6
AYP Target	68.5%	79.0%	89.5%												
Met AYP Target	Yes	No	No	Yes			Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No

El Nido has struggled to maintain previous years' growth since the focus of instruction has been to incorporate the California Common Core State Standards into their instruction. The staff focuses on key state standards and keeps high standards for each student.

In year 2010-2011 the scores were met by Safe Harbor. The 2011-2012 scores show a decrease in the mathematics but a slight increase for English Language Arts. The following year 2012-2013 shows a decrease in both subjects. Classroom instruction transitioned to the California Common Core State Standards in year 2012-2013 and this reflected in the CST being different than the daily instruction.

El Nido still struggles with the gap between each subgroup.

	AMAO 1	AMAO	AMAO 2	AMAO 2	AMAO 2	AMAO 2
	El Nido	1	(>5 years)	(>5 years)	(<5 years)	(<5 years)
	Percent	Target	El Nido Percent	Target	El Nido Percent	Target
	Meeting Target		Meeting Target	_	Meeting Target	
2007-2008	51.5%	50.1%				
2008-2009	Not Available	51.6%				
2009-2010	61.7%	53.1%	48.6%	41.3%	26.9%	17.4%
2010-2011	48.3%	54.6%	58.8%	43.2%	8.8%	18.7%
2011-2012	80.6%	56.0%	84.8%	45.1%	27.8%	20.1%

English Learner scores have also shown growth. El Nido met AMAO I and II in 2011-2012. Results are still pending for AMAO III for 2011-2012. El Nido was in Title III accountability for AMAO I and II in 2010-2011. El Nido met AMAO III for CST growth through Safe Harbor in 2010-2011. El Nido did not receive funding for years 2012-2013 and has become part of the Merced County Title III consortium in year 2013-2014.

Teacher Quality

Another component of the needs assessment should examine local needs for professional development and hiring. LEA teachers and administrators should participate in this process to identify activities that will provide:

- teachers with the subject matter knowledge and teaching skills to provide all students the opportunity to meet challenging state academic achievement standards, and
- principals with the instructional leadership skills to help teachers provide all students the opportunity to meet the state's academic achievement standards.

El Nido is currently investing time and money for professional development on the California Common Core State Standards for the Principal and staff. Merced County Office of Education is assisting small school districts in professional development introducing the new standards to lead teachers who will in turn train the staff on site. As a part of this professional development, standards cross walks and curriculum will be assessed and integrated into classroom instruction.

School Safety and Prevention

The LEA needs assessment also focuses on Performance Goal 4 (see Appendix A). It is based on an evaluation of objective data regarding the incidence of violence, alcohol, tobacco, and other illegal drug use in the elementary and secondary schools and the communities to be served. It includes the objective analysis of the current conditions and consequences regarding violence, alcohol, tobacco, and other illegal drug use, including delinquency and serious discipline problems, among students who attend such schools (including private school students who participate in the drug and violence prevention program). This analysis is based on ongoing local assessment or evaluation activities (Sec. 4115 (a)(1)(A). *California's Healthy Kids Survey* may also provide useful information in this area. The Survey is available at http://www.wested.org/pub/docs/chks_survey.html.

El Nido does not receive funding for TUPE flexes School Safety and Violence Prevention however student safety is a priority for the district. Since El Nido is a small rural school, there is a low incident rate for suspension and expulsion. According to the Title I Parent Survey, over 70% of the parents who returned the survey confirm that there is a low incident rate for drugs and violence at the school as well.

El Nido Elementary School actively participates in Red Ribbon Week and Jump Rope for Heart. The breakfast and lunch program follows strict guidelines for nutrition and the cafeteria chef continuously has a variety of fresh fruits and vegetables for students to choose. All of these create a culture for the school that is focused around student health and safety.

Descriptions - Program Planning

Once local strengths and needs are identified as a result of examining and evaluating current district-level data, specific descriptions can be written of how program goals will be implemented to improve student academic achievement. On the pages that follow, the SSD will provide descriptions and information about how it plans to address the requirements of NCLB and the SPSA based upon results of the needs assessment. Collectively, these descriptions, along with the Assurances in Part III of this document, comprise the SSD Plan.

District Profile

In the space below, please provide a brief narrative description of your district. Include your district's vision/mission statement and any additional information about the make-up of your district, including grade levels and demographics of students served, in order to provide background and a rationale for the descriptions included in the SSD Plan.

El Nido Elementary School District is a small, rural kindergarten through 8th grade district covering 80 square miles located in the southwest corner of Merced County. El Nido Elementary School District serves approximately 190 students. The school has been recognized as a "special place" in this world of overcrowding and high stress. There is a warm, caring staff that looks out for each student as they might their own family. One person serves the duties of principal and superintendent.

El Nido maintains an active After School Program (ASES). ASES offers a variety of club type classes for Kindergarten through 8th grade. Students participate in classes such as cooking, guitar, science and maintain one hour of homework time where many teachers stay to continue working with their own students to extend the academic day.

The small size of El Nido Elementary School District enables the school to know and appreciate each individual student's ability and need. Students are encouraged to become the best that they can be, meet or exceed state standards, and help each other achieve individual and group goals.

Parents are encouraged to become actively involved in the educational process. Parents readily volunteer in the classrooms, help with special events, serve on the School Site Council and District English Language Acquisition Committee, Parent Teacher Club, and perform other countless acts of service making the school the center of the community.

Vision Statement:

El Nido Elementary School District is committed to children. It is our commitment to provide an enriching learning environment for the intellectual, physical and emotional growth of each child. We will provide a healthy and safe environment for children to grow, to learn how to work together, be proud of themselves and others as they learn. We will provide a diversified curriculum to meet the individual needs of all our students within the learning environment that promotes positive attitudes and feelings, fosters students' high esteem, stimulates academic achievement and enthusiasm for learning, develops positive personal qualities, and promotes understanding and respect for cultural diversity and individual differences.

Mission/Commitment Statement:

El Nido Elementary School District is committed to providing for the intellectual, physical and social needs of each child. We will provide a healthy and safe environment for students to learn and grow.

Local Measures of Student Performance

(other than State-level assessments)

Per NCLB Section 1112 regarding Local Educational Agency Plans, each LEA must provide the following descriptions in its Plan:

A description of high-quality student academic assessments, <u>if any</u>, that are in addition to the academic assessments described in the State Plan under section 1111(b) (3), <u>that the local educational agency and schools served under this part will use to:</u>

- a) determine the success of students in meeting the State student academic achievement standards and provide information to teachers, parents, and students on the progress being made toward meeting student academic achievement standards;
- assist in diagnosis, teaching, and learning in the classroom in ways that best enable low-achieving students to meet State student achievement academic standards and do well in the local curriculum;
- c) determine what revisions are needed to projects under this part so that such children meet the State student academic achievement standards; and
- d) identify effectively students who may be at risk for reading failure or who are having difficulty reading, through the use of screening, diagnostic, and classroom-based instructional reading assessments.

If the SSD uses such assessments in addition to State Academic assessments, please provide a succinct description below, and indicate grade levels and students served with such assessments.

Also, please describe any other indicators that will be used in addition to the academic indicators described in Section 1111 for the uses described in that Section.

Performance Goal 1A: All students will reach high standards, at a minimum, attaining proficiency or better in reading by 2013-2014.

SCHOOL GOAL#

- 1. Califonia Standardized Testing results for school year 2010-1011 show the Hispanic or Latino subgroup is 28.7 percentage points below the white subgroup average in Reading/Language Arts. The Hispanic or Latino subgroup achieved 42.7 at or above proficient while the white subgroup average was 71.4 percent at or above proficient. El Nido Elementary School District's goal is to narrow this gap to within 10 percentage by June 2015.
- 2. California Standardized Testing results for school year 2010-2011 show the district overall percentage at or above proficient is 49.5. El Nido Elementary School District's goal is to increase achievement from 49.5% to 60% all student groups at or above proficient.

(Should be specific, measurable, and based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All Students grades K-8 will be impacted by the transition to California Common Core State Standards.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: Students grades 2-8 will grow academically at least 10% as measured by the California State Assessment System.
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:	Data to be collected to measure academic gains:
 State Assessment System District/School Benchmarks 	 California State Assessment results District/School Benchmarks

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Reading -

Description of specific actions to improve student achievement in Reading and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Alignment of instruction with content standards:				
El Nido School District has adopted the California State Content Standards in Reading/Language Arts. In grades K-5,				

	es	ricts adopted Houghton Mifflin Reading series. In 6-8, the district adopted McDougal Littell Reading				
6	a)	Principal will continue professional development on California Common Core Standards and how to coach, supervise and evaluate daily instruction.	Principal by June 2015		\$1,500	LCFF
k	o)	Utilizing the adopted curriculum, El Nido will continue staff development on the California Common Core State Standards to correlate the new standards with daily classroom instruction.	Principal, teachers, MCOE staff by June 2015	Regular staff development offered by MCOE	No cost	
(c)	Teachers will work collaboratively on a regular, ongoing basis to target key standards and develop appropriate daily instruction.	Principal and teachers-Ongoing	Regular release time	No cost	
(d)	Standards-based report cards will be implemented to inform students and parents of student progress towards meeting the California Common Core State Standards.	Principal and teachers - Ongoing		No cost	
•	€)	Instructional decisions and interventions will be based on multiple measures including: grades, local assessments, and the new state testing system.	Principals and teachers - Ongoing		No cost	
		of standards-aligned instructional materials and				
		California Common Core State Standards will be posted in every classroom for student and teacher reference during and after the transition.	Teachers –March 2015		\$200	LCFF
		Parents will be provided with a copy of the state standards in Spanish and English. Teachers will receive additional training in	Office and teachers - Ongoing		\$200	LCFF
	c) 	scientifically researched instructional strategies to meet the needs of low achieving students who are at	Teachers – Ongoing			

		risk of not meeting state content standards.			
	d	Three instructional aides, K-3, 4-8, and computer lab, support teacher instruction in reading and math.	Teachers Instructional Aide	\$44,000	Title I
3.	E	xtended learning time:			
	a) El Nido After School Program ASES will continue to deliver 1 hour of homework help for all students but will focus one on one time for students who are below grade level.	Principal, ASES Director and leaders, teachers - Ongoing	Cost of program staffing	ASES grant

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Reading and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Increased access to technology:				
a) Teachers will assess all students using the Waterford software program and place them appropriately in the program to work 30 minutes a day two days a week in the computer lab.	Teachers	No related expenditures	No cost	
b) El Nido will upgrade technology, internet, and servers in preparation for the California Common Core State Standard Assessments.	Principal		\$10,000	Microsoft Voucher
 5. Staff development and professional collaboration aligned with standards-based instructional materials: a) District will participate in Small School District professional development offered by Merced County Office of Education to review current instructional materials and align them to California Common Core State Standards. b) Teachers will work collaboratively on a regular, ongoing basis to target key standards and develop appropriate daily instruction. 	Principal, teachers and Merced County Office of Education by June 2015.	No related expenditures	No cost	
 6. Involvement of staff, parents, and community (including notification procedures, parent outreach, and interpretation of student assessment results to parents): a) The LEA will maintain a School Site Council with staff, parents and community representatives. The Site Council will analyze student achievement data in Reading/Language Arts and use this data to establish performance targets. 	Principal, teachers and parents		No cost	
b) The LEA's District English Language Advisory Committee will continue to work through the School	Superintendent, teachers, and parents			

		Site Council regarding the review of student achievement data and performance targets.				
	c)	All parents will receive information about the California Common Core State Standards for each grade. All parents will receive individual students state assessment results that include an explanation of how to interpret the results as well as a comparison to site and district performance.			No cost	
	d)	The LEA will work collaboratively with Merced Mental Health to support a grant they received for community parent classes held on campus, such as English as a Second Language (ESL).	Superintendent and Merced County Mental Health		No cost	
	e)	The LEA will send a school newsletter to parents and community members that include information and practical ways to promote children's reading skills.	Principal, teachers and students	Printing costs	\$200	LCFF
7.		iliary services for students and parents (including				
	trar	sition from preschool, elementary, or middle school):	Principal and Merced			
	a)	The LEA will continue to support the Head Start Preschool program on campus and include them in onsite activities when age appropriate.	County Office of Education Head Start - Ongoing		No cost	
	b)	The LEA is a K-8 located on one site. El Nido staff is supportive to students as they transition to each grade level.	Principal and staff, ongoing		No cost	
8.	Мо	nitoring program effectiveness:				
	a)	All students will participate in the State's standards-based accountability system.	Principal, 3 rd - 8 th grade teachers - annually.		No cost	
	b)	Student achievement data in Reading-Language Arts and the API results will be used to monitor programs and drive changes in instructional practice, as needed.	Principal and teachers, ongoing	Release time	No cost	

	c)	Classroom teachers will regularly assess student progress towards mastery of the Reading Language Arts standards using local assessments. Re-teaching will occur as needed.	Teachers, ongoing	No cost	
	d)	California Common Core State Standard report cards will be sent home to inform students and parents of progress towards mastery of adopted standards.	Principal and teachers, Spring 2016	\$200	
9.		rgeting services and programs to lowest-performing ident groups: Students who are performing below grade level will continue to work on Waterford and Success Maker in the computer lab and classroom computers.	Principal and teachers - Ongoing	No cost	
10	. An a)	y additional services tied to student academic needs: El Nido will continue to research and purchase state adopted curriculum programs that incorporate the California Common Core State Standards with daily instruction	Principal and teachers, ongoing.	\$30,000	Instructional Materials Lottery

Performance Goal 1B: All students will reach high standards, at a minimum, attaining proficiency or better in mathematics by 2013-2014.

SCHOOL GOAL

- 1. Califonia Standardized Testing results for school year 2010-1011 show the Hispanic or Latino subgroup is 14.2 percentage points below the white subgroup average in Mathematics. The Hispanic or Latino subgroup achieved 58.5 at or above proficient while the white subgroup average was 72.7 percent at or above proficient. El Nido Elementary School District's goal is to narrow this gap to within 10 percentage by June 2014.
- 2. California Standardized Testing results for school year 2010-2011 show the distict overall percentage at or above proficient is 62.0 in Mathematics. El Nido Elementary School District's goal is to increase achievement from 62.0% to 85% all student groups at or above proficient by June 2015.

(Should be specific, measurable, and based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All Students grades K-8 will be impacted by the transition to California Common Core State Standards.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: Students in grades 2-8 will grow academically at least 10% as measured by the California State Assessment System.
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • State Assessment System • District/School Benchmarks	Data to be collected to measure academic gains:

Planned Improvement in Student Performance in Mathematics

Description of specific actions to Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics and reach the goals stated above for the SSD and each applicable student subgroup:	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Alignment of instruction with content standards:				
El Nido School District has adopted the California State Content Standards in Mathematics. In grades K-5, the districts adopted Sadlier Mathematics series. In grades 6-8,				

the	dist	rict adopted Holt Mathematics series.			
		Principal will continue professional development on California Common Core Standards and how to coach, supervise and evaluate daily instruction.	Principal	\$1,500.	LCFF
	b)	Utilizing the adopted curriculum, El Nido will continue staff development on the California Common Core State Standards to correlate the new standards with daily classroom instruction.	Principal, teachers and MCOE – Ongoing	No cost	
	c)	Teachers will work collaboratively on a regular, ongoing basis to target key standards and develop appropriate daily instruction.	Principal and teachers - Ongoing	No cost	
2.		e of standards-aligned instructional materials and ategies:			
	a)	California Common Core State Standards will be posted in every classroom for student and teacher reference during and after the transition.	Teachers – March 2015	\$200	LCFF
	,	Parents will be provided with a copy of the state standards in Spanish and English.	Office and teachers - Ongoing		
	c)	Teachers will receive additional training in scientifically researched instructional strategies to meet the needs of low achieving students who are at risk of not meeting state content standards.	Teachers – Ongoing	\$200	LCFF
	d)	Three instructional aides, K-3, 4-8, and computer lab, support teacher instruction in reading and math.	Teachers Instructional Aides	44,000	Title I
3.	Ext	ended learning time:			
	a)	El Nido After School Program ASES will continue to deliver 1 hour of homework help for all students but will focus one on one time for students who are below grade level.	Principal, ASES Director, teachers and ASES staff	Cost of program and staff	ASES grant

Achie state	cription of specific actions to Improve Student evement in Mathematics and reach the goals d above for the SSD and each applicable student roup:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
4. In	creased access to technology:				
а) Teachers will assess all students using the Waterford and Success Maker software program and place them appropriately in the program to work in the computer lab or classroom.	Teachers - Ongoing		No cost	
5. S	taff development and professional collaboration aligned with standards-based instructional materials:				
а	District will participate in Small School District professional development offered by Merced County Office of Education to review current instructional materials and align them to California Common Core State Standards.	Principal, teachers and Merced County Office of Education by June 2015.		No cost	
b	 Teachers will work collaboratively on a regular, ongoing basis to target key standards and develop appropriate daily instruction. 				
n	ovolvement of staff, parents, and community (including otification procedures, parent outreach, and nterpretation of student assessment results to parents):				
а) The LEA will maintain a School Site Council with staff, parents and community representatives. The Site Council will analyze student achievement data in Reading/Language Arts and use this data to establish performance targets.	Principal, teachers, and parents – Ongoing		No cost	
b) The LEA's District English Language Advisory Committee will continue to work through the School Site Council regarding the review of student achievement data and performance targets.	Principal, teachers, and parents – Ongoing		No cost	
С	All parents will receive information about the California Common Core State Standards for each grade. All parents will receive individual students state	Principal, teachers and parents –		No cost	

		assessment results that include an explanation of how to interpret the results as well as a comparison to site and district performance.	Ongoing			
	d)	The LEA will work collaboratively with Merced Mental Health to support a grant they received for community parent classes held on campus, such as English as a Second Language (ESL).	Principal and Merced County Mental Health - Ongoing		No cost	
	e)	The LEA will send a school newsletter to parents and community members that include information and practical ways to promote children's reading skills.	Principal, teachers, and students	Printing cost	\$200	LCFF
7.		ciliary services for students and parents (including nsition from preschool, elementary, or middle school):	Principal and Merced			
	a)	The LEA will continue to support the Head Start Preschool program on campus and include them in onsite activities when age appropriate.	County Office of Education Head Start - Ongoing		No cost	
	b)	The LEA is a K-8 located on one site. El Nido staff is very supportive to students as they transition to each grade level.	Principal and staff, ongoing			
8.	Moi	nitoring program effectiveness:				
	a)	All students will participate in the State's standards- based accountability system.	Teachers		No cost	
	b)	Student achievement data in Mathematics and the API results will be used to monitor programs and drive changes in instructional practice, as needed.	Principal and teachers – Ongoing		No cost	
	c)	Classroom teachers will regularly assess student progress towards mastery of the Mathematic standards using local assessments. Re-teaching will occur as needed.	Teachers		No cost	LCFF
	d)	California Common Core State Standard report cards will be sent home to inform students and parents of progress towards mastery of adopted standards.	Principals and teachers – by trimester – Spring		\$200.	

		2016		
9.	Targeting services and programs to lowest-performing student groups:		No cost	
	 Students who are performing below grade level will continue to work on Waterford and Success Maker in the computer lab and classrooms. 	Principal and teachers - Ongoing		
10. Any additional services tied to student academic needs:				
	a) El Nido will continue to research and purchase state adopted curriculum programs that incorporate the California Common Core State Standards with daily instruction	Principal and teachers - Ongoing	\$30,000	Instructional Materials Lottery

Performance Goal 2: All limited-English-proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

SCHOOL GOAL#

- 1. An increasing percentage of English Learners will make annual progress in learning English. ~By October 2012, the percentage of English Learners learning English will increase from 48.3% to 57.0%, in order to meet the state defined growth expectations as measured by CELDT for 2012-2013.
- 2. An increasing percentage of English Learners will attain English language proficiency annually. By October 2012, the percentage of English Learners in language instruction educational programs fewer than 5 years attaining English language proficiency will increase from 8.8% to 20.0%, in order to meet the state-defined growth expectations as measured by the CELDT AMAO 2 for 2012-2013. El Nido made the target for AMAO 2 for English Learners attaining language proficiency 5 years or more. Our score was 58.8%, the state target was 43.2%. This target will continue to be monitored and adjusted to meet the language needs of English Learners.

(Should be specific, measurable, and based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)

Grade levels to participate in this goal: All English Learner Students grades K-8.	Anticipated annual performance growth: Students in grades K-8 will grow academically at least 10% as measured by the California State Assessment System and the CELDT results.			
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • State Assessment System • District/School Benchmarks	Data to be collected to measure academic gains: California State Assessment results District/School Benchmarks			

Planned Improvement in Programs for LEP Students and Immigrants (Title III and EIA/LEP)

	be the following specific activities addressing the use of Title III and/or P supplemental funds (note that the required activities must be addressed):	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
	The programs and activities to be developed, implemented, and administered and how the SSD will use the these funds to meet all annual measurable achievement objectives described in Section 3122				
Required Activities	 El Nido Elementary School District provides programs that allow students to learn English. Teachers use SDAIE techniques to assist students to meet or exceed standards. English Immersion is provided for students who have been in the country for less than twelve months. ELD programs for students are also provided to assist students in making progress in English proficiency as well as in meeting standards. The district uses Title III funds to provide instructional materials for ELD that are designed to assist students in accessing the core curriculum. The district also provides ELD training as well in SDAIE training to teachers to assist in helping students become proficient in English and to achieve performance goals in both math and in reading. 	Principal and teachers – Ongoing Principal and teachers – Ongoing	Curriculum	No cost \$5,000	LCFF Supplemental Concentration

	w the SSD will hold elementary and secondary schools receiving under this subpart accountable for: meeting the annual measurable achievement objectives described in Section 3122 making adequate yearly progress for limited-English-proficient students (Section 1111(b)(2)(B) annually measuring the English proficiency of LEP students so that the students served develop English proficiency while meeting State Academic standards and student achievement (Section 1111(b)(1)				
1)	All students participate in CELDT state testing to determine progress toward English proficiency and will be used to determine progress toward meeting state standards and AYP. Results of these measurements are reported to the school board of trustees and to the School Site Council which includes the District English Language Advisory Council.	Principal, District Testing Coordinator and teachers - Ongoing	CELDT Materials	Cost of testing Materials	LCFF Supplemental Concentration
3. How prograi	v the SSD will promote parental and community participation in LEP				
•	The LEA's DELAC voted to be represented by the School Site Council and is represented in every agenda. The School Site Council meets regularly to discuss and to give input regarding programs and services. The LEA is working with Merced County Mental Health on a grant they received for community/parent classes. ESL classes are offered by Merced County Mental Health weekly. These classes	Principal and School Site Council – Ongoing		No cost	
	support El Nido's instructional programs for parents who are limited English speaking so they have a better understanding of their children's class work and homework. El Nido Elementary School actively sends parent notes and	Principal and Merced County Mental Health		No cost	LCFF
	newsletters informing parents of all activities on site. El Nido calls parents to remind them of the various meetings such as the School Site Council. The LEA will review and purchase a phone system that calls all	Principal and Office Staff	Printing Cost Phone System Cost	\$200 \$2,000	Supplemental Concentration
	parents to inform them of events on site.		2,010111 0001		

4. How the SSD will provide high quality language instruction based on scientifically based research (per Sec. 3115(c). The effectiveness of the LEP programs will be determined by the increase in:		
English proficiency		
Academic achievement in the core academic subjects		
 The LEA has adopted Houghton Mifflin and McDougal Littell in grades K-8 and Into English published by Hampton Brown (research-based as well as standards based program) is being used to support English Language Development. Teachers use SDAIE techniques and differentiated instruction as part of their normal teaching pedagogy. Local assessments and the CELDT are used to measure increases in the English Proficiency of students. The district has adopted benchmarks for expected outcomes for students in these programs. Data from these and from the State Star program are analyzed and used to measure student achievement growth over time. 	Principal and teachers - Ongoing	

	5. High quality professional development for classroom teachers, principals, administrators, and other school or community-based personnel:		
	a. designed to improve the instruction and assessment of LEP children	Principal and teachers	No cost
	1) Teachers in the El Nido Elementary School District have been trained in SDAIE, CLAD, and other programs that allow for specialized instruction to meet the needs of students who are limited English Proficient. These research-based approaches are particularly useful in differentiating instruction using the district-adopted programs.		
ဟ	 designed to enhance the ability of teachers to understand and use curricula, assessment measures, and instruction strategies for limited-English-proficient students 	Principal and teachers	No cost
Required Activities	 Teachers received intensive training in both district reading programs and Into English and will continue to receive ongoing training in these programs as well. Teachers will begin professional development on writing language objectives and goals through Merced County Office of Education. 		
Req	c. based on scientifically based research demonstrating the effectiveness of the professional development in increasing children's English proficiency or substantially increasing the teachers' subject matter knowledge, teaching knowledge, and teaching skills	Principal	No cost
	1) Principal is required to evaluate teachers using an instrument based on the California Standards for the Teaching Profession that includes standards for meeting the individual needs of students. Into English is an additional program used for English Language Development.	Principal and	No cost
	d. long term effect will result in positive and lasting impact on teacher performance in the classroom	teachers	
	Increase the number of students who are classified from limited to fluent English proficient with a corresponding increase in performance on state tests.		

	us	escribe the following specific activities addressing the e of Title III and/or EIA/LEP supplemental funds ote that the required activities must be addressed):	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
	1.	Upgrade to program objectives and effective instructional strategies, if applicable				
	2.	Any: a. tutorials and academic or vocational education for LEP students and/or b. intensified instruction				
ities	3.	How programs for English Learners are coordinated with other relevant programs and services				
Allowable Activities	4.	Any other activities designed to improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of LEP children • The LEA will standardize the delivery and support for English Language Development programs throughout the district.	Principal and teachers		No cost	
	5.	Community participation programs, family literacy services, and parent outreach and training activities provided to LEP children and their families – a. To improve English language skills of LEP children b. To assist parents in helping their children to improve their academic achievement and becoming active participants in the education of their children 1) The LEA encourages parent involvement through School Site Council/DELAC, Parent Teacher Club, parents volunteering in the classroom and adult ESL classes.	Principal, teachers and parents - Ongoing		No cost	

6.	Efforts to improve the instruction of LEP children by providing for – a. The acquisition or development of educational technology or instructional materials b. Access to, and participation in, electronic networks for materials, training, and communication c. Incorporation of the above resources into curricula and programs 1) El Nido Elementary School District has a computer lab and computers in each classroom. Students have regular access to these resources. Waterford and Success Maker are used to remediate and extend academic standards based learning.	Teachers - Ongoing	Computer Lab Maintenance	\$2,000.	LCFF Supplemental Concentration
7.	Other activities consistent with Title III or EIA/LEP funds				

Plans to Notify and Involve Parents of Limited-English-Proficient Students

Parents of Limited-English-Proficient students must be notified: outreach efforts include holding and sending notice of opportunities for regular meetings for the purpose of formulating and responding to recommendations from parents. Describe how you will meet these requirements	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	
---	--	-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--

		D informs the parent/s of an LEP student of each of the following (per c. 3302 of NCLB):				
		the reasons for the identification of their child as LEP and in need of				
	u.	placement in a language instruction educational program	Principal,	Printing costs	\$200	LCFF
		The LEA sends out a letter annually in Spanish and English that	District	_		Supplemental
		identifies the students as LEP, based on the results of the CELDT	Testing			Concentration
		results and the requisite need for appropriate language	Coordinator			
		instruction for a minimum of 30 minutes per day.	and			
	b	the child's level of English proficiency, how such level was assessed,	teachers -			
		and the status of the student's academic achievement	Ongoing			
		 See above as well as regular progress reports of academic progress. 				
	C.					
		will be, participating, and the methods of instruction used in other				
		available, programs, including how such programs differ in content,				
		instruction goals, and use of English and a native language in				
		instruction				
		Students are instructed along with the fluent English speakers				
တ္		and primary language support is available in small groups,				
itie	الم	interventions, and individually if needed.				
Required Activities	d	how the program in which their child is, or will be participating will meet the educational strengths and needs of the child				
ĕ		The district uses CELDT results along with primary language				
e e		assessment results and the District Test Coordinator to inform				
ä		teachers of overall student proficiency. Discussions are held to				
Se.		determine most effective interventions based on this data.				
_	e					
		meet age appropriate academic achievement standards for grade				
		promotion and graduation				
		 The district notifies parents of all testing results of the CELDT, 				
		START and District Assessments				
	f.	the specific exit requirements for such program, the expected rate of				
		transition from such program into classrooms that are not tailored for				
		limited English proficient children, and the expected rate of graduation				
		from secondary school for such program if funds under this title are				
		used for children in secondary schools				
		 All English Learner students are mainstreamed into the regular classroom and the teachers use SDAIE strategies integrated into 				
		the daily instruction.				
	g		Principal			
	9	objectives of the individualized education program of the child	and		No ocet	
		English Learner students who have an IEP are closely monitored	teachers -		No cost	
		by the Special Education teacher and the classroom/#acher.	Ongoing			
		IÉP and language goals are included and closely monitored.				

		Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Required Activities	 h. information pertaining to parental rights that includes written guidance detailing – i. the right that parents have to have their child immediately removed from such program upon their request ii. the options that parents have to decline to enroll their child in such program or to choose another program or method of instruction, if available iii. the SSD assists parents in selecting among various programs and methods of instruction, if more than one program or method is offered by the SSD The district notification process includes information about the waiver process, parent option for participation or non-participation and description of the program the district is implementing. 	Principal, District Testing Coordinator and teachers - Ongoing	Printing cost	\$200	LCFF Supplemental Concentration
previous student two we The into of the state of the stat	Notifications must be provided to parents of students enrolled since the us school year, not later than 30 days after the beginning of the school year. If its enroll after the beginning of the school year, parents must be notified within eeks of the child being placed in such a program. If ormation listed above is provided within 30 days of enrollment of the beginning school year. Parents of student who arrive after this time are notified as soon student's CELDT results are known.				

SSD Parent Notification Failure to Make Progress If the SSD fails to make progress on the annual measurable achievement objectives, it will inform parents of a child identified for participation in such program, or participating in such program, of such failure not later than 30 days after such failure occurs.		
The LEA will notify parents within 30 days of the notification to the district that the LEA has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP). The notification will also include the reasons for the failure to make adequate yearly progress (AYP).		

Plans to Provide Services for Immigrants

Supplement Please de El Nido receive	ental escri DE E	receiving or planning to receive Title III Immigrant funding, complete this table (per Sec. 3115(e)). ibe: Ilementary School District does not itle III Immigrant supplemental this time.	Persons Involved and Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Allowable Activities	1.	Family literacy, parent outreach, and training activities designed to assist parents to become active participants in the education of their children.				
	2.	Support for personnel, including teacher aides who have been specifically trained, or are being trained, to provide services to immigrant children and youth.				
	3.	Provision of tutorials, mentoring, and academic or career counseling for immigrant children and youth.				
	4.	Identification and acquisition of curricular materials, educational software, and technologies to be used in the program carried out with funds.				

5.	Basic instruction services that are directly attributable to the presence in the school district involved of immigrant children and youth, including the payment of costs of providing additional classroom supplies, costs of transportation, or such other costs as are directly attributable to such additional basic instruction services.		
6.	Other instruction services designed to assist immigrant children and youth to achieve in elementary and secondary schools in the USA, such as programs of introduction to the educational system and civics education.		
7.	Activities coordinated with community-based organizations, institutions of higher education, private sector entities, or other entities with expertise in working with immigrants, to assist parents of immigrant children and youth by offering comprehensive community services.		

Performance Goal 3: By 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

Summary of Needs and Strengths for Professional Development

Based on a needs assessment of teacher data for your school/district, include a narrative that describes areas of needed professional development and areas where adequate professional development opportunities exist.

Currently, all teachers in the El Nido Elementary School District are fully credentialed. One full day and 18 minimum days of ongoing review and assessment of student progress are established to continue training in the writing process, reading and mathematics programs, standards-based instruction and California Common Core State Standards.

[Description of activities under Title II, Part A, Subpart 1, Grants to SSD]

STRENGTHS	NEEDS		
El Nido Elementary School District has developed and implemented hiring policies that include comprehensive recruitment efforts to expand the applicant pool. Careful screening of applicants ensures that El Nido Elementary School District hires the most qualified applicants. All eligible teachers will participate in an	El Nido Elementary School Principal and staff are currently attending California Common Core State Standards professional development given by Merced County Office of Education at no cost. El Nido Principal and staff need to continue to learn and incorporate the new state standards into the currently adopted curriculum.		
approved induction program.	camediam.		
El Nido Elementary School District has a veteran staff with whom are committed to the students, families and community. All teachers are trained and authorized to provide services to English Learners, with 2 teachers BCLAD certified All teachers are No Child Left Behind qualified.	The Principal needs professional learning opportunities based on scientific research and aimed at increasing skill in promoting the success of all students by: developing a vision of learning that is and supported by the school community; nurturing a school culture and instructional program conducive to student learning and professional growth; collaborating with families and community member to mobilize resources; modeling a code of ethics and developing professional leadership capacity; responding and influencing the larger political, social, economic and legal context for education.		

Performance Goal 3: By 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

SCHOOL GOAL

All Teachers in El Nido are NCLB compliant. All teachers will continue to attend professional development in the California Common Core State Standards to ensure a smooth transition.

(Should be specific, measurable, and based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: • Kindergarten through 8 th grade teachers • All student groups are included in this goal	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: Students in grades 2-8 will grow academically at least 10% as measured by the California State Assessment System.
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • State Assessment System • District/School Benchmarks • Classroom Observations	Data to be collected to measure academic gains: • State Assessment System • District/School Benchmarks • Classroom Observations

Planned Improvements for Professional Development (Title II)

Please provide a description of:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
How the professional development activities are aligned with the State's challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, State assessments, and the curricula and programs tied to the standards:				
The curriculum will be defined and well-articulated:	Principal and		No cost	

All course content must reflect content standards adopted by the district.	teachers – Ongoing			
All instructional materials purchased by the district must align with state content standards.	Principal and teachers – Ongoing	Curriculum Materials	\$30,000	LCFF Lottery
Curriculum development will support the vertical and horizontal articulation of the curriculum based on content standards.	Principal and teachers – Ongoing			
 Use of technology as an instructional tool to achieve content performance standards. 	Principal and teachers – Ongoing			
Expand methodologies that ensure equal access for all students to learn.	Principal and teachers – Ongoing			
The LEA will conduct yearly needs assessments of teachers in relation to state and district standards.	Principal and office staff			
How the activities will be based on a review of scientifically based research and an explanation of why the activities are expected to improve student academic achievement:				
Principal and teachers will be trained to review research on professional development activities that assist teachers in determining how all students are meeting or exceeding the state content and academic achievement standards	Principal and teachers – Ongoing		\$2,000	LCFF
Examination of student work on benchmark assessments that reflect content standards will occur during early release days and staff development and collaboration activities to provide evidence of effective instruction and interventions.	Principal and teachers - Ongoing			

Please provide a description of:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
 3. How the activities will have a substantial, measurable, and positive impact on student academic achievement and how the activities will be used as part of a broader strategy to eliminate the achievement gap that separates low-income and minority students from other students: Currently, El Nido Elementary School District meets the proficiency levels established by the California Department of Education. The district will continue to pursue achievement in all curricular areas. The El Nido Board of Trustees and the School Site Council will annually evaluate the progress achieved based upon an analysis of assessment data. 	Principal and teachers		No cost	
 4. How the LEA will coordinate professional development activities authorized under Title II, Part A, Subpart 2 with professional development activities provided through other Federal, State, and local programs: • The Principal, School Site Council and teachers will ensure that professional development activities are coordinated to address the highest priority staff needs in assisting all students to meet or exceed the State academic achievement standards. Activities will be designed to assist teachers in implementing a standards-based curriculum, use instructional practices, administer benchmark assessments, use of technology, and to use data to understand the strengths and needs of the student populations in their classes. Classroom management, interventions and strategies to work successfully with parents and students which are required by funding sources will be addressed within the larger context of improving instruction to ensure that all students continue to meet or exceed State content standards. 5. The professional development activities that will be made available 	Principal, School Site Council and Teachers - Ongoing		\$2,000	LCFF

to teachers and principals and how the LEA will ensure that professional development (which may include teacher mentoring) needs of teachers and principals will be met: The Site Council, teachers and Principal will design professional development activities that will meet the following needs: Collaboration time to plan and coordinate the teaching and assessing of essential standards in the subject matter areas of most needed improvement. Joint review of student work based on benchmark assessments. Learning about core research-based practices and standards-based instructional materials. Analyze data from state and local standards-based assessments and use results to make changes to curriculum, instructional materials, and instructional practices. Coaching, mentoring and team planning will be used to ensure that teachers receive support in meeting their needs.	Principal, School Site Council and teachers – Ongoing at early release days	No cost	
 6. How the SSD will integrate funds under this subpart with funds received under part D that are used for professional development to train teachers to integrate technology into curricula and instruction to improve teaching, learning, and technology literacy: The El Nido Elementary School District Technology Plan outlines the integration of funds used to promote professional development for staff, students and adult literacy programs (see Technology Plan). The district recognized that staff development is one of the most important pieces to the successful implementation of a technology plan. El Nido recognizes the need for every student to be technologically literate in order to thrive in an information-based society and economy. A key to ensuring a quality education for all students in the area of technology is to provide all teachers and staff with introductory and ongoing staff development in technology. All teachers integrate technology in daily instruction through the use of projectors, lab-tops, and Elmos. 	Principal, teachers and Site Council - Ongoing	\$10,000	LCFF, Microsoft Voucher, E-rate
7. How students and teachers will have increased access to technology; and how ongoing sustained professional development			

for teachers, administrators, and school library media personnel will be provided in the effective use of technology. (Note: A minimum of 25% of the Title II, Part D Enhancing Education through Technology funding must be spent on professional development.): • 100% of classrooms are networked for internet access, have lap-top computers, projectors, and Elmos for daily instruction. • Principal and teachers will have access to CTAP professional development for personal proficiency in software applications, standards-based instructional planning, and information literacy.	Principal, teachers, IT contract with Spriggs and Merced County Office of Education	Computer Lab maintenance	Cost of Maintenance	LCFF E-rate
 8. How the SSD, teachers, paraprofessionals, principals, other relevant school personnel, and parents have collaborated in the planning of professional development activities and in the preparation of the SSD Plan: Parents, administrators and other stakeholders are included in the decision-making process for planning professional development activities and the preparation of the SSD Plan through School Site Council and ongoing collaboration meetings at weekly staff meetings. 	Principal, School Site Council and teachers		No cost	
Please provide a description of:	Persons Involved/ Timeline	Related Expenditures	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
 9. How the SSD will provide training to enable teachers to: a. Teach and address the needs of students with different learning styles, particularly students with disabilities, students with special learning needs (including students who are gifted and talented), and students with limited English proficiency b. Improve student behavior in the classroom and identify early and appropriate interventions to help all students learn c. Involve parents in their child's education and d. Understand and use data and assessments to improve classroom practice and student learning 				

 Teacher collaboration time focused on selecting benchmark assessment for key/essential Common Core standards and joint review of student work on those assignments includes planning for addressing diverse student needs, student behavior management, and working with families. Staff development days and after school sessions focused on practicing core research-based practices used in the standards-based materials in the subject matter areas of most needed improvement also includes planning for addressing diverse student needs, student behavior management, and communicating with families. 	Principal and teachers at weekly early release days - Ongoing.		No cost	
 Differentiated professional development opportunities will be provided for individual teachers based on assessed needs using student performance data, observations, and self-assessment. Principal's professional development will emphasize the leader's roles in supporting Common Core standards implementation, organization and management for continuous improvement. Additional topics will include analyzing student data, behavior management, working with students' families, and addressing diverse needs of students, particularly students in the lowest-performing groups. 	1 day and weekly early release days – Ongoing Principal – June 2016	Conference Fees	\$2,000	LCFF
10. How the SSD will use funds under this subpart to meet the				
requirements of Section 1119:				
 Funds for Title II will be used (if needed) to hire and retain ESEA compliant teachers, administrators and classified staff. El Nido is fully staffed at this time. 	Principal		No cost at this time	
 The funds will next be prioritized to provide high quality, research-based professional development for staff needs as identified by student needs. 	Teachers – June 2016		\$2,000	LCFF

Performance Goal 4: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug-free, and conducive to learning.

El Nido does not receive TUPE funding and flexes Safe and Drug Free Schools and Community funding.

Environments Conducive to Learning (Strengths and Needs):

Please provide a list of the SSD's strengths and needs regarding how students are supported physically, socially, emotionally, intellectually, and psychologically in environments that are conducive to learning, along with the SSD's strengths and needs regarding student barriers to learning (e.g., attendance, mobility, and behavior).

STRENGTHS	NEEDS
 The District has a school wide safety committee, which maintains, plans and participates in school site emergency plan preparedness. The district maintains a School Site Council to review health and academic advisory plans and activities. Administration and staff support the view that emotional, psychological, and social needs are intrinsically related to academic achievement. LEA contracts with Merced County Office of Education for Psychological and Nursing services. 	 Parent involvement could be expanded at the school site. Create and support a positive climate and culture for staff and students to ensure positive professional growth for everyone. Although attendance is above 95% for students, there is room for improvement. Review purchase and maintain an integrated drug free and safe school program.

Environments Conducive to Learning (Activities):

Please list the activities or programs supported by all NCLB or state funded programs that the SSD will implement to support students physically, socially, emotionally, intellectually, and psychologically in environments that are conducive to learning. Include programs and strategies designed to address students' barriers to learning (e.g. attendance and behavior). Include a copy of the SSD's code of conduct or policy regarding student behavior expectations.

ACTIVITIES

- Prevention activities that are designed to maintain safe, disciplined, and drug-free environments, including participation in Red Ribbon Week activities, Great American Smoke-out, staff development and teacher training in research based programs and practices.
- On campus Friday Night Live or Club Live programs promoting positive youth development, student leadership, and alcohol, tobacco, drug, and violence prevention.
- After-school program operates from 3:00 to 6:00 p.m. each day school is in session. Programs provide academic enrichment, physical education, and social skills building.
- Students receive information and referral to the Merced County Mentoring Program for participation in school-based, cross-age, or community mentoring services.
- Use of research based violence prevention curriculum in all classes and after school programs

Needs and Strengths Assessment (4115(a)(1)(A)):

Based on data regarding the incidence of violence and alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use in the schools and communities to be served, and other qualitative data or information, provide a list of the SSD's strengths and needs related to preventing risk behaviors.

STRENGTHS	NEEDS
 Since El Nido is a small rural agriculture based district, there is a low incident rate for violence, alcohol, tobacco, and other drug uses in the schools. Our staff participates in all activities and training opportunities facilitated by the County Office Safe and Drug-Free Schools Coordinator. This allows the staff to receive specialized training in prevention, youth development, and early identification of risky behaviors. The District will yearly provide students with information from the County Safe and Drug-Free Schools Coordinator for all Merced County students, to serve as a crisis intervention and anonymous reporting procedures for both on campus and off campus issues. El Nido partners with Merced County Mental Health to provide community services to the families. These services are held at the school site and directly impact the families of El Nido. 	The District would benefit from partnering with community agencies and county services to enhance prevention education and intervention and referrals to appropriate local service providers.

Performance Goal 4: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug-free, and conducive to learning.

El Nido does not receive TUPE funding and flexes Safe and Drug Free Schools and Community funding.

Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities (SDFSC) and Tobacco Use Prevention Education (TUPE)

Prevention Program Performance Indicators (4115(a)(1)(B)):

The SSD is required to establish a biennial goal for all of the performance indicators listed below. List specific performance indicators for each grade level served, and for each listed measure, as well as the date of, and results from, the baseline administration of the Healthy Kids Survey:

Alcohol, Tobacco, Other Drug Use, and Violence Prevention Performance Measures From the California Healthy Kids Survey	Most Recent Survey date: Fall/01 Baseline Data	Biennial Goal (Performance Indicator)
The percentage of students that have ever used cigarettes will decrease biennially by:	5 th _ ⁰ _% 7 th _ ⁰ %	5 th - ⁰ -% 7 th - ⁰ %
The percentage of students that have used cigarettes within the past 30 days will decrease biennially by:	7 th _ ⁰ _ %	7 th — ⁰ %
The percentage of students that have used marijuana will decrease biennially by:	5 th - ⁰ -% 7 th ⁰ %	5 th — ^{0 %} 7 th – ⁰ – %
The percentage of students that have used alcohol within the past 30 days will decrease biennially by:	7 th - ⁰ - %	7 th - ⁰ - %
The percentage of students that have used marijuana within the past 30 days will decrease biennially by:	7 th — ^{0 %}	7 th _0_ %

The percentage of students that feel very safe at school will increase biennially by: The percentage of students that have been afraid of being beaten up during the past 12 months will decrease biennially by:	7 th	_100_ % _100_ %	5 th - ⁰ - % 7 th - ⁰ - %	
Truancy Performance Indicato	r			
The percentage of students who have been truant will decrease annually by0from the current LEA rate shown here. NOTE: Calculate the percentage in the LEA by tallying the number of students who have been classified as truant during the school year per Education Code Section 48260.5, and dividing that total by the CBEDS enrollment for the same school year.	(0%		0_%
Protective Factors Performance Measures from the California Healthy Kids Survey		Most rece date: //_ Baseline D	-	Biennial Goal (Performance Indicator)
The percentage of students that report high levels of carin relationships with a teacher or other adult at their school vincrease biennially by:		5 th — 7 th —	% %	5 th — [%] 7 th — [%]
The percentage of students that report high levels of high expectations from a teacher or other adult at their school increase biennially by:	will		%	5 th — [%] 7 th — [%]

The percentage of students that report high levels of opportunities for meaningful participation at their school will increase biennially by:	5 th — [%] 7 th — [%]	5 th - % 7 th - %
The percentage of students that report high levels of school connectedness at their school will increase biennially by:	5 th - % 7 th - %	5 th - % 7 th - %

Other Performance Measures

List below any other performance measures and performance indicators the LEA has adopted specific to its prevention programs (drug, violence, truancy, school safety, etc.). Specify the performance measure, the performance indicator goal, and baseline data for that indicator.

LEA Specified Performance Measures (Process to Collect Data)	Performance Indicator Goal	Baseline Data

Science Based Programs (4115 (a)(1)(C)):

The LEA must designate and list the science-based programs (programs proven by science to effectively prevent tobacco use, alcohol use, other drug use, and violence) selected from Appendix C. From Appendix C, list the scientifically based programs the LEA will adopt and implement to serve 50 percent or more of the students in the target grade levels. Indicate below your program selections, and provide all other requested information.

Science-Based Program Name	Program ATODV Focus	Target Grade Levels	Target Population Size	Purchase Date	Staff Training Date	Start Date
Good Behavior Game	Violence	1-6	120		Jan 2012	Aug 2012

Research-based Activities (4115 (a)(1)(C)):
Based on the research cited in Appendix D, check the box for each activity the LEA will implement as part of the comprehensive prevention program and provide all other requested information.

Check	Activities	Program ATODV Focus	Target Grade Levels
	After School Programs		Grades K-8
Χ			
	Conflict Mediation/Resolution		
	Early Intervention and Counseling		
	Environmental Strategies		
X	Family and Community Collaboration	Parenting classes, Language classes.	Grades K-8 and all parents
	Media Literacy and Advocacy		
	Mentoring		
	Peer-Helping and Peer Leaders		
	Positive Alternatives		
	School Policies		
	Service-Learning/Community Service		
	Student Assistance Programs		
	Tobacco-Use Cessation		

Check	Activities	Program ATODV Focus	Target Grade Levels
	Youth Development		
	Caring Schools		
	Caring Classrooms		
	Other Activities		

Promising or Favorable Programs (4115 (a)(3)):

The LEA may – but is not required to – designate and list the promising or favorable programs (programs whose effectiveness is not as strongly established though scientific evidence) selected from Appendix E. From Appendix E, list the promising or favorable programs the LEA will adopt and implement to serve 50 percent or more of the students in the target grade levels. Indicate below your program selections, and provide all other requested information.

Promising Program name	Program ATODV Focus	Target Grade Levels	Target Population Size	Purchase Date	Staff Training Date	Start Date

Waiver to Adopt Promising or Favorable Programs not listed in Appendix E:

Check the box below if the LEA will submit an application for waiver in order to include other promising or favorable programs not found in Appendix E. Programs not listed in Appendix E will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The LEA must demonstrate that the program for which a waiver is requested is legitimately innovative or demonstrates substantial likelihood of success. The CDE will provide under separate cover additional information and the forms for submitting a waiver request.

		۱
		ı
		ı
		ı
		ı
		ı

Analysis of Data for Selection of Programs and Activities (4115 (a)(1)(D)):

For each selected Appendix C programs or Appendix D activities, provide a brief narrative rationale based on the SSD's analysis of CSS, CHKS, and CSSA data related to why the LEA selected these programs and activities for implementation.

The Good Behavior Game empowers youth to choose positive behaviors in all aspects of life. It rewards and encourages positive choices. The program is a perfect fit for our small rural community school where we have few occurrence rates for drug and violence.

El Nido maintains an active after school program (ASES) that encourages choice and growth in all areas for students. ASES maintains over 80% attendance and has the majority of students enrolled in the program.

Evaluation and Continuous Improvement (4115 (a)(2)(A)):

Provide a description for how the LEA will conduct regular evaluations of the effectiveness of the SSD's alcohol, tobacco, other drug use and violence prevention program. Describe how the results of the evaluation will be used to refine, improve and strengthen the program.

The District will work closely with the County Safe and Drug-Free Schools Coordinator to ensure the implementation of effective science based curriculum with fidelity and to remain abreast of new research and trends. We will administer the California Healthy Kids Survey to all 5th and 7th grade students biennially and utilize the data to make appropriate program modifications or changes. We will conduct the CHKS in coordination with the County Safe and Drug-Free Schools Coordinator to ensure that valid countywide data for alcohol, tobacco, drug, and violence is obtained so we may compare our District to the county, state, and national data. The County Coordinator will also review the countywide data on a long-term basis and analyze trends. Additionally, we will conduct informal teacher surveys to determine teacher satisfaction and compliance with the curriculum administration.

Use of Results and Public Reporting (4115 (a)(2)(B)):

Describe the steps and timeline the LEA will use to publicly report progress toward attaining performance measures for the SDFSC and TUPE programs. Describe how the evaluation results will be made available to the public including how the public will be provided notice of the evaluation result's availability.

The CHKS will be the primary instrument to measure progress in attaining SDFSC and TUPE goals. Within six months of the administration of the CHKS, we will present key findings during school board meetings open to the public and advise parents of results through a school newsletter, Countywide data will be presented to community groups and in a countywide quality of life index, the Merced County Community Office of Education Report Card.

Mandatory Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities (4114(d)(2)(E)):

Briefly describe how SDFSC funded program services will be targeted to the SSD's schools and students with the greatest need. (Section 4114 [d][3])

El Nido flexes Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities funding. Though this funding is flexed, the staff and administration maintain the importance of a safe and drug free school for students. Merced County Mental Health coordinates a small community grant. El Nido collaborates with Merced Mental Health to bring programs here to school for students and parents.

Coordination of All Programs (4114 (d)(2)(A)):

Provide a detailed, but brief, explanation of how the LEA will coordinate SDFSC funded alcohol, tobacco, other drug and violence prevention programs with other federal state and local prevention programs.

District works closely with the County Safe and Drug-Free Schools Coordinator to provide a collaborative countywide approach to SDFSC efforts. Representatives from the school will meet with the County Coordinator at least four times per year to receive information on current and available programs, efforts, and resources.

All middle school-aged students will be offered the opportunity to participate in the countywide Friday Night Live/Club Live program. El Nido has grades K-8 and does not have high school grades.

District will refer students to other local prevention programs, both on and off campus, including the after-school program, Tehama County Mentoring Program, Standing Tall Against Teen Issues (STATIS) teen tobacco prevention coalition. Additionally, District will provide in kind programs and/or meeting space, upon request, to facilitate these prevention activities on campus to reach the greatest number of students.

District will participate in the countywide Merced County Health Partnership coalition. This is a collaborative group of county agencies, schools, law enforcement, medical providers, social services, community benefit organizations, parents, youth, businesses, and the faith community. The mission of the Health Partnership is to provide a collaborative approach to preventing youth substance abuse and juvenile crime.

Parent Involvement (4115 (a)(1)(e)):

Provide a brief, but detailed, description of the parent involvement and describe the parent notification procedures used to meet requirements under NCLB Title IV, Part A – SDFSC program.

Parent involvement will be solicited through several avenues. Each year, parents will receive information on committees or school activities in which they may choose to become involved, including School Site Councils, dates and times of all school board meetings, and in the after-school programs or school clubs such as Friday Night Live/Club Live. The District also publishes a school newsletter sent home for parents to review and remain apprised of current activities on campus. Parents are also involved on campus by attending the annual Back to School and Open House Nights. For all mandated parent notifications, legal guidelines will be followed and implemented with diligence according to the mandate.

TUPE Services for Pregnant Minors and Minor Parents (H&SC 104460):

Describe the TUPE services and referral procedures for pregnant minors and minor parents enrolled in the LEA and how they will be provided with tobacco-use prevention services. Include students participating in programs such as the California School Age Families Education (Cal-SAFE) program, the Adolescent Family Life Program (AFLP) administered through the Department of Health Services, and the Cal-Learn program administered by the Department of Social Services.

Fl Nido	does	not receive	TUPE	funding
LIMIGO	uocs	HOU ICCCIVE	IULL	Tunumg.

The school nurse or health aide will be responsible for providing all pregnant minors and minor parents enrolled in the District with information and referral to local tobacco prevention and social service programs which may be to their benefit. These programs may include: Cal-Safe programs within the Merced City Union High School District and Merced County Public Health and FLP programs.

TUPE Funded Positions (Health & Safety Code 104420(b)(3)):

Provide full time equivalent (FTE) staffing configuration for all TUPE funded positions. (Health and Safety Code section104420 [b][3])

El Nido Does Not Receive TUPE funding.

Position/Title	Full time equivalent

Performance Goal 5: All students will graduate from high school.

Planned Improvements: High School Graduation Rates, Dropouts, and AP

El Nido Elementary School District is a Kindergarten through 8th grade district. The LEA does not graduate high school students.

This section of the plan is intended to reflect the SSD's efforts to reduce the percentage of students dropping out of school, and therefore, increase the percentage of students who graduate from high school. Also include a description below of the SSD's efforts to ensure that all students have equal access to advanced placement (AP) opportunities. This page does not apply to districts with no secondary students.

Performanc e Indicator	Activities/Actions	Students Served	Timeline/ Person(s) Involved	Benchmarks/ Evaluation	Funding Source
5.1 (High School Graduates)					
5.2 (Dropouts)					

5.3 (Advanced Placement)			

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

Please include in the space below the following descriptions mandated by NCLB legislation. If the SSD has already included any of the descriptions, they do not need to be provided again here; please indicate the page number or section of the Plan where this information is included.

Please provide a general description of the nature of the programs to be conducted by the SSD under Sections 1114, "Schoolwide Programs," and/or Section 1115, "Targeted Assistance Schools." All ten of the required components must be addressed. (For more information on Schoolwide, please go to http://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/rt/tasinfo.asp).

Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement: For schoolwide programs (SWP), describe how the SSD will El Nido is not currently a Schoolbring together all resources to upgrade the entire educational wide Program (SWP). program at the school and include assistance in activities such as: A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school in relation to state standards. Schoolwide reform strategies that provide opportunities for all children to meet state standards. • Effective methods and instructional strategies based on scientifically-based research. • Strategies that give primary consideration to extended learning time, extended school year, before and after school and summer programs. • Proven strategies that address the needs of historically under served students, low achieving students, and those at risk of not meeting state standards. • Instruction by highly qualified teachers and strategies to attract and keep such teachers. • High quality and ongoing professional development for

teachers, principals, paraprofessionals, and if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents and other staff.

- Strategies to increase parental involvement.
- Assistance to preschool children in transitioning from early childhood programs to elementary school programs.
- Timely and effective additional assistance to students who experience difficulty mastering state standards.

For targeted assistance programs (TAS), describe how the SSD will identify participating students most at risk of failing to meet state standards and help those students to meet the State's challenging academic standards. The description should include activities such as:

- Effective methods and instructional strategies based on scientifically-based research.
- Strategies that give primary consideration to extended learning time, extended school year, before and after school and summer programs.
- Strategies that minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction.
- Instruction by highly qualified teachers.
- Professional development opportunities for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals, including if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff.
- Strategies to increase parental involvement.

- El Nido Elementary School District uses local benchmark, CELDT and State Assessment systems to monitor student achievement and growth towards Federal and State defined goals.
- Students who are most in need participate in the afterschool program to focus on supplementary skills to encourage growth.
- Students who are most in need have extended time in the computer lab to work on Success Maker and Waterford programs specific to their learning skills.
- All teachers are NCLB compliant and focus daily on one-to-one instruction for students who score below proficiency.

Please describe how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel in targeted assistance schools under Section 1115, "Targeted Assistance Schools," will identify the eligible children most in need of services under this part. Please note that multiple, educationally related criteria must be used to identify students eligible for services. Where applicable, provide a description of appropriate, educational services outside such schools for children living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children in community day school programs, and homeless children.

	Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:
 Describe who is involved and the criteria used to identify which students in a targeted assistance school will receive services. The criteria should: Identify children who are failing or most at risk of failing to meet the state academic content standards. Use multiple measures that include objective criteria such as state assessments, and subjective criteria such as teacher judgment, parent interviews and classroom grades. Include solely teacher judgment, parent interviews and developmentally appropriate measures, if the district operates a preschool through grade 2 program with Title I funds. 	 The Principal, district testing coordinator and teacher meet to review local and state assessments data at the beginning of each year to identify students who are below grade level. Students who are classified at English Learner are assessed at the beginning of each school year to ensure proper placement for English Learner Development daily instruction.
The description should include services to homeless children, such as the appointment of a district liaison, immediate enrollment, transportation, and remaining in school of origin.	 El Nido will immediately enroll children who are defined as homeless. The District Administrative Assistant is the home liaison.
The description should include services to children in a local institution for neglected or delinquent children and youth or attending a community day program, if appropriate.	El Nido does not have a community day program.

Additional Mandatory Title I Descriptions

(continued)

Please describe the actions the SSD will take to obtain assistance if identified under Section 1116, "Academic Assessment and Local Educational Agency and School Improvement," as in need of improvement. Note that the federal guidance indicates that in the case of direct-funded charter schools, the charter authorizer should play a role in providing this assistance.

	Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:
 If the SSD is a PI school(s), describe technical assistance activities the SSD will obtain, such as the following: Assistance in developing, revising, and implementing the school plan. Analyzing data to identify and address problems in instruction, parental involvement, professional development and other areas. Assistance in implementing proven and effective strategies that will address the problems that got the school identified as PI and will get the school out of PI. Assistance in analyzing and revising the school budget so the school's resources are used effectively. 	El Nido works closely with Merced County Office of Education, Instructional Services, for assistance in writing and updating all state and federal plans. El Nido also works closely with Merced County Office of Education, Business Department, to ensure proper alignment of funds to programs that directly tie to student learning and achievement.

Please describe the actions the SSD will take to implement public school choice with paid transportation and Supplemental Educational Services, consistent with the requirements of Section 1116, "Academic Assessment and Local Educational Agency and School Improvement."

	Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:
Describe the process for parent notification of the school's identification as PI, including notification of the right for students to transfer to another school that is not PI with paid transportation, and the right to receive supplemental services.	El Nido sent home notices for all parents of the Program Improvement Year 1 status and the parents' rights to transfer their children to another school which is not in Pl.
Describe how the SSD will provide school choice and supplemental services to eligible children, including the selection of the children to receive services.	El NIdo is a rural single school district. The closest school is 15 miles away and is also in Program Improvement. El Nido will transport according to the statutes.

Please describe the strategy the SSD will use to coordinate programs under Title I with programs under Title II to provide professional development for teachers and principals, and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, administrators, parents in accordance with Section 1118, "Parental Involvement," and Section 1119, "Qualifications for Teachers and Paraprofessionals."

	Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:
Describe the SSD's strategies for coordinating resources and efforts to retain, recruit and increase the number of highly qualified teachers, principals, and other staff.	 All teachers are highly qualified. New teachers are involved in the BTSA program and are mentored by veteran teachers. All staff members, teaching, administrative and paraprofessional, participate in ongoing workshops, conferences and training to enhance professional development.
Describe the SSD's strategies for coordinating resources and efforts to prepare parents to be involved in the school and in their children's education.	 The El Nido Elementary School student handbook, newsletters, back to school letter, parent conferences, Back to School night, Open House and a variety of parent notices encourage parent involvement in the daily academic program. El Nido has an active Parent Teacher Club with a large representation from our English Learner parents.

Coordination of Educational Services

In the space below, please describe how the LEA will coordinate and integrate educational services to increase program effectiveness, eliminate duplication, and reduce fragmentation of the instructional program. Include programs such as: Even Start; Head Start; Reading First; Early Reading First and other preschool programs (including plans for the transition of participants in such programs to local elementary school programs; services for children with limited English proficiency; children with disabilities; migratory children; neglected or delinquent youth; Native American (Indian) students served under Part A of Title VII; homeless children; and immigrant children.

Description of how the SSD is meeting or plans to meet this requirement:

Describe how the SSD will coordinate and integrate educational services to increase program effectiveness, eliminate duplication, and reduce fragmentation of the instructional program, including programs such as:

- a. Even Start
- b. Head Start
- c. Reading First
- d. Early Reading First
- e. Other preschool programs
- f. Services for children that are migratory, neglected or delinquent, Native American (Title VII, Part A), homeless, immigrant, and limited-English proficient, and children with disabilities.

Compare to programs listed on Page 11 of the SSD Plan to determine if all active programs have been addressed.

- El Nido Elementary School District hosts head start on campus for bimonthly socialization classes for preschool children and parents.
- In May, incoming kindergarten students visit the kindergarten class to meet the current kindergarten students and meet the teacher.
- El Nido enrolls a Transitional Kindergarten class with a modified curriculum focused on the developmental learning styles and key standards for success in kindergarten.
- El Nido contracts with Merced County Office of Education Migrant Program. The Principal works with the MCOE Coordinator of Migrant Programs to ensure that the needs of the families are being met.

Part III Assurances and Attachments

Assurances

Signature Page

Appendix

Appendix A: California's NCLB Performance Goals and Performance

Indicators

Appendix B: Links to Data Web sites

Appendix C: Science-Based Programs

Appendix D: Research-based Activities

Appendix E: Promising or Favorable Programs

ASSURANCES

To assure the SSD's eligibility for funds included in this Plan, the Superintendent must provide an original signature below attesting to compliance with all of the following statements.

GENERAL ASSURANCES

- 1. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- 2. The SSD will comply with all applicable supplement not supplant and maintenance of effort requirements.
- 3. (a) The control of funds provided under each program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency, a non-profit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to those entities; (b) the public agency, non-profit private agency, institution or organization, or Indian tribe will administer the funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing law.
- 4. The SSD will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including (a) the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program; and (b) the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- 5. The SSD will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by, or for, the State educational agency, the Secretary, or other Federal officials.
- The SSD will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, Federal funds paid to the applicant under each such program.
- 7. The SSD will (a) submit such reports to the State educational agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary as the State educational agency and Secretary may require to enable the State educational agency and Secretary to perform their duties under each such program; and (b) maintain such records, provide such information, and afford such access to the records as the State educational agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may reasonably require to carry out the State educational agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- 8. The SSD has consulted with teachers, school administrators, parents, and others in the development of the local consolidated application/SSD Plan to the extent required under Federal law governing each program included in the consolidated application/SSD Plan.

- 9. Before the application was submitted, the SSD afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and considered such comment.
- 9a. The SSD will provide the certification on constitutionally protected prayer that is required by Section 9524.
- 10. The SSD will comply with the armed forces recruiter access provisions required by Section 9528.

TITLE I, PART A

The SSD, hereby, assures that it will:

- 11. Participate, if selected, in the State National Assessment of Educational Progress in 4th and 8th grade reading and mathematics carried out under Section 411(b)(2) of the National Education Statistics Act of 1994.
- 12. If the SSD receives more than \$500,000 in Title I funds, it will allow one percent to carry out NCLB Section 1118, Parent Involvement, including promoting family literacy and parenting skills; 95 percent of the allocation will be distributed to schools.
- 13. Inform the school community and parents of schoolwide program authority and the ability to consolidate funds from Federal, State, and local sources.
- 14. Provide technical assistance and support to schoolwide programs.
- 15. Develop the school plan pursuant to California Education Code Section 64001 and Section 1114 and undertake activities pursuant to Section 1115 so adequate yearly progress toward meeting the State student academic achievement standards is made.
- 16. Fulfill school improvement responsibilities under section 1116, including taking actions under paragraphs (7) and (8) of Section 1116(b).
- 17. Provide services to eligible children attending private elementary schools and secondary schools in accordance with Section 1120, and timely and meaningful consultation with private school officials regarding such services.
- 18. Take into account the experience of model programs for the educationally disadvantaged, and the findings of relevant scientifically based research indicating that services may be most effective if focused on students in the earliest grades at schools that receive funds under this part.
- 19. In the case of an SSD that chooses to use funds under this part to provide early childhood development services to low-income children below the age of compulsory school attendance, ensure that such services comply with the performance standards established under Section 641A(a) of the Head Start Act.
- 20. Develop and implement plans or activities under sections 1118 and 1119 and California *Education Code* Section 64001.

- 21. Comply with requirements regarding the qualifications of teachers and paraprofessionals and professional development.
- 22. Inform the school community of the SSD's authority to obtain waivers on the school's behalf under Title IX.
- 23. Coordinate and collaborate, to the extent feasible and necessary with the State educational agency and other agencies providing services to children, youth, and families with respect to a school in school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under section 1116 concerning factors that have significantly affected student achievement at the school.
- 24. Ensure, through the provision of professional development, recruitment programs, or other effective strategies, that low-income students and minority students are not taught at higher rates than other students by unqualified, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.
- 25. Use the results of the student academic assessments required under section 1111(b)(3), and other measures or indicators available to the agency, to review annually the progress the school to determine whether it is making the progress necessary to ensure that all students will meet the State's proficient level of achievement on the State academic assessments described in Section 1111(b)(3) within 12 years from the baseline year described in Section 1111(b)(2)(E)(ii).
- 26. Ensure that the results from the academic assessments required under section 1111(b)(3) will be provided to parents and teachers as soon as is practicably possible after the test is taken, in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language or other mode of communication that the parents can understand.
- 27. Develop or identify examples of high-quality, effective curricula consistent with section 1111(b)(8)(D) and California *Education Code* Section 64001.
- 28. For schools in school improvement status, ensure that not less than ten percent of their Title I funds are spent to provide professional development (in the area[s] of identification to teachers and principals) for each fiscal year.
- 29. Prepare and disseminate an annual SSD report card in accordance with Section 1111(h)(2).

TITLE I, PART D - SUBPART 2

- 30. Where feasible, ensure that educational programs in the correctional facility are coordinated with the student's home school, particularly with respect to a student with an individualized education program under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.
- 31. Work to ensure that the correctional facility is staffed with teachers and other qualified staffs that are trained to work with children and youth with disabilities taking into consideration the unique needs of such children and youth.

32. Ensure that the educational programs in the correctional facility are related to assisting students to meet high academic achievement standards.

TITLE II, PART A

- 34. The SSD, hereby, assures that:
 - The SSD will comply with Section 9501 (regarding participation by private school children and teachers).
 - The SSD has performed the required assessment of local needs for professional development and hiring, taking into account the activities that need to be conducted in order to give teachers the means, including subject matter knowledge and pedagogy skills, and to give principals the instructional leadership skills to help teachers, to provide students with the opportunity to meet California's academic content standards. This needs assessment was conducted with the involvement of teachers, including teachers participating in programs under Part A of Title I.
 - The SSD will assure compliance with the requirements of professional development as defined in Section 9101 (34).

TITLE II, PART D

- 35. The SSD has an updated, local, long-range, strategic, educational technology plan in place that includes the following:
 - Strategies for using technology to improve academic achievement and teacher effectiveness.
 - Goals aligned with challenging state standards for using advanced technology to improve student academic achievement.
 - Steps the applicant will take to ensure that all students and teachers have increased access to technology and to help ensure that teachers are prepared to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction.
 - Promotion of curricula and teaching strategies that integrate technology, are based on a review of relevant research, and lead to improvements in student academic achievement.
 - Ongoing, sustained professional development for teachers, principals, administrators, and school library media personnel to further the effective use of technology in the classroom or library media center.
 - A description of the type and costs of technology to be acquired with Ed Tech funds, including provisions for interoperability of components.
 - A description of how the applicant will coordinate activities funded through the Ed Tech program with technology-related activities supported with funds from other sources.

- A description of how the applicant will integrate technology into curricula and instruction, and a timeline for this integration.
- Innovative delivery strategies a description of how the applicant will encourage
 the development and use of innovative strategies for the delivery of specialized
 or rigorous courses and curricula through the use of technology, including
 distance learning technologies, particularly in areas that would not otherwise
 have access to such courses or curricula due to geographical distances or
 insufficient resources.
- A description of how the applicant will use technology effectively to promote parental involvement and increase communication with parents.
- Collaboration with adult literacy service providers.
- Accountability measures a description of the process and accountability
 measures that the applicant will use to evaluate the extent to which activities
 funded under the program are effective in integrating technology into curricula
 and instruction, increasing the ability of teachers to teach, and enabling student
 to reach challenging state academic standards.
- Supporting resources a description of the supporting resources, such as services, software, other electronically delivered learning materials, and print resources that will be acquired to ensure successful and effective uses of technology.
- 36. The SSD must use a minimum of 25 percent of their funds to provide ongoing, sustained, and intensive high quality professional development in the integration of advanced technology into curricula and instruction and in using those technologies to create new learning environments.
- 37. Any SSD that does not receive services at discount rates under section 254(h)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 254(h)(5)) hereby assures the SEA that the SSD will not use any Title II, Part D funds to purchase computers used to access the Internet, or to pay for direct costs associated with accessing the Internet, for such school unless the school, school board, local educational agency, or other authority with responsibility for administration of such school:
 - has in place a policy of Internet safety for minors that includes the operation of a technology protection measure with respect to any of its computers with Internet access that protects against access through such computers to visual depictions that are obscene, child pornography, or harmful to minors; and
 - is enforcing the operation of such technology protection measure during any use of such computers by minors; and
 - has in place a policy of Internet safety that includes the operation of a
 technology protection measure with respect to any of its computers with Internet
 access that protects against access through such computers to visual depictions
 that are obscene or child pornography, and is enforcing the operation of such
 technology protection measure during any use of such computers.
 - Any SSD that <u>does</u> receive such discount rates hereby assures the SEA that it
 will have in place a policy of Internet safety for minors required by Federal or
 State law.

TITLE III

- 38. The SSD assures that it consulted with teachers, researchers, school administrators, parents, and, if appropriate, with education-related community groups, nonprofit organizations, and institutions of higher education in developing the SSD Plan.
- 39. The SSD will be accountable for increasing English language proficiency and for LEP subgroups making adequate yearly progress.
- 40. The SSD is complying with Section 3302 prior to, and throughout, each school year.
- 41. The SSD annually will assess the English proficiency of all students with limited English proficiency participating in programs funded under this part.
- 42. The SSD has based its proposed plan on scientifically based research on teaching limited-English-proficient students.
- 43. The SSD ensures that the programs will enable English Learners to speak, read, write, and comprehend the English language and meet challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards.
- 44. The SSD is not in violation of any State law, including State constitutional law, regarding the education of limited-English-proficient students, consistent with Sections 3126 and 3127.

TITLE IV, PART A

- 45. The SSD assures that it has developed its application through timely and meaningful consultation with State and local government representatives, representatives of private schools to be served, teachers and other staff, parents, students, community-based organizations, and others with relevant and demonstrated expertise in drug and violence prevention activities (such as medical, mental health, and law enforcement professionals).
- 46. The activities or programs to be funded comply with the principles of effectiveness described in section 4115(a) and foster a safe and drug-free learning environment that supports academic achievement.
- 47. The SSD assures that funds under this subpart will be used to increase the level of State, local, and other non-Federal funds that would, in the absence of funds under this subpart, be made available for programs and activities authorized under this subpart, and in no case supplant such State, local, and other non-Federal funds.
- 48. Drug and violence prevention programs supported under this subpart convey a clear and consistent message that acts of violence and the illegal use of drugs are wrong and harmful.
- 49. The SSD has a plan for keeping the school safe and drug-free that includes:

- Appropriate and effective school discipline policies that prohibit disorderly conduct, the illegal possession of weapons, and the illegal use, possession, distribution, and sale of tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs by students.
- Security procedures at school and while students are on the way to and from school.
- Prevention activities that are designed to create and maintain safe, disciplined, and drug-free environments.
- A crisis management plan for responding to violent or traumatic incidents on school grounds.
- A code of conduct policy for all students that clearly states the responsibilities of students, teachers, and administrators in maintaining a classroom environment that:
 - Allows a teacher to communicate effectively with all students in the class.
 - Allows all students in the class to learn.
 - Has consequences that are fair, and developmentally appropriate.
 - o Considers the student and the circumstances of the situation.
 - o Is enforced accordingly.
- 50. The application and any waiver request under Section 4115(a)(3) (to allow innovative activities or programs that demonstrate substantial likelihood of success) will be available for public review after submission of the application.

TITLE IV, PART A, SUBPART 3

51. The SSD assures that it has, in effect, a written policy providing for the suspension from school for a period of not less than one year of any student who is determined to have brought a firearm to school or who possesses a firearm at school and the referral of a student who has brought a weapon or firearm to the criminal or juvenile justice system. Such a policy may allow the Superintendent to modify such suspension requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis.

TITLE V, PART A

- 52. The SSD has provided, in the allocation of funds for the assistance authorized by this part and in the planning, design, and implementation of such innovative assistance programs, for systematic consultation with parents, teachers and administrative personnel, and with such other groups involved in the implementation of this part (such as librarians, school counselors, and other pupil services personnel) as may be considered appropriate by the SSD.
- 53. The SSD will comply with this Part, including the provisions of Section 5142 concerning the participation of children enrolled in private nonprofit schools.
- 54. The SSD will keep such records, and provide such information to the SEA, as may be reasonably required for fiscal audit and program evaluation.

- 55. The SSD will annually evaluate the programs carried out under this Part, and that evaluation:
 - will be used to make decisions about appropriate changes in programs for the subsequent year;
 - will describe how assistance under this part affected student academic achievement and will include, at a minimum, information and data on the use of funds, the types of services furnished, and the students served under this part; and
 - will be submitted to the SEA at the time and in the manner requested by the SEA.

New LEAP Assurances

- 56. Uniform Management Information and Reporting System: the SSD assures that it will provide to the California Department of Education (CDE) information for the uniform management information and reporting system required by No Child Left Behind, Title IV in the format prescribed by CDE. That information will include:
 - (i) truancy rates;
 - (ii) the frequency, seriousness, and incidence of violence and drug-related offenses resulting in suspensions and expulsions in elementary schools and secondary schools in the State:
 - (iii) the types of curricula, programs, and services provided by the chief executive officer, the State educational agency, local educational agencies, and other recipients of funds under this subpart; and
 - (iv) the incidence and prevalence, age of onset, perception of health risk, and perception of social disapproval of drug use and violence by youth in schools and communities. (Section 4112, General Provisions, Title IV, Part A, PL 107-110)
- 57. Unsafe School Choice Policy: the SSD assures that it will establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the State, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, as determined by State law, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary school or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school. The SSD will submit on a format to be designated by CDE the information the state requires to complete annual federal reporting requirements on the number of schools that have been designated "persistently dangerous" in accordance with California State Board of Education policy. (Section 9532, General Provisions, Title IX, PL 107-110.)

Other

Other
58. The SSD assures that a minimum of 95 percent of all students and a minimum number of students in each subgroup (at both the school and district levels) will participate in the state's assessments program.
Rae Ann Jimenez
Print Name of Superintendent
Signature of Superintendent
Date

School Site Council Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The school site council is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The school site council reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the school plan requiring board approval.

	in the school plan requiring board appro		Ü
3.	The school site council sought and cons following groups or committees before a		
	_X School Advisory Committee for Sta	ate Compensatory Education Progra	ms
	_X English Learner Advisory Committ	ee	
	Community Advisory Committee for	Special Education Programs	
	Gifted and Talented Education Prog	ram Advisory Committee	
	Other (<i>list</i>)		
4. 5.	The school site council reviewed the corprograms included in this Single Plan for content requirements have been met, in board policies and in the LEA Plan. This school plan is based on a thorough The actions proposed herein form a sour reach stated school goals to improve stated.	r Student Achievement and believes cluding those found in district govern analysis of student academic perfornd, comprehensive, coordinated plan	all such ing mance.
6.	This school plan was adopted by the sci_28, 2015.	nool site council at a public meeting o	on: May
Att	rested:		
Ra	ne Ann Jimenez		
Ту	ped name of school principal	Signature of school principal	Date
	_Andrea Beltz		
Ту	ped name of SSC chairperson	Signature of SSC chairperson	Date

APPENDIX A

On May 30, 2002, the California State Board of Education (SBE) adopted the five goals and 12 performance indicators for No Child Left Behind, as set forth in the Federal Register Notice of May 22, 2002. The SBE's adoption of the specified goals and performance indicators represents California's commitment to the development of an accountability system to achieve the goals of NCLB.

Collectively, NCLB's goals, performance indicators, and performance targets constitute California's framework for ESEA *accountability*. The framework provides the basis for the state's improvement efforts, informing policy decisions by the SBE and implementation efforts by the California Department of Education (CDE) to fully realize the system envisioned by NCLB; it also provides a basis for coordination with the State Legislature and the Governor's Office.

California's NCLB Performance Goals and Performance Indicators

Performance Goal 1: All students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading and mathematics, by 2013-2014.

- 1.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are above the proficient level in reading on the State's assessment. (These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)
- 1.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students, in the aggregate and in each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment. (These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in Section 1111(h)(C)(i).)
- 1.3 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress.

Performance Goal 2: All limited-English-proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

- 2.1. **Performance indicator:** The percentage of limited-English-proficient students, determined by cohort, who have attained English proficiency by the end of the school year.
- 2.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of limited-English-proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.1.

2.3 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of limited-English-proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.2.

Performance Goal 3: By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

- 3.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of classes being taught by "highly qualified" teachers (as the term is defined in Section 9101(23) of the ESEA), in the aggregate and in "high-poverty" schools (as the term is defined in Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) of the ESEA).
- 3.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of teachers receiving high-quality professional development. (See definition of "professional development" in Section 9101(34).)
- 3.3 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties as translators and parent involvement assistants) who are qualified. (See criteria in Section 1119(c) and (d).)

Performance Goal 4: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.

4.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the State.

Performance Goal 5: All students will graduate from high school.

- 5.1 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students who graduate from high school, with a regular diploma:
 - disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged; and,
 - calculated in the same manner as used in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.
- 5.2 **Performance indicator:** The percentage of students who drop out of school:
 - disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged; and
 - calculated in the same manner as used in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

APPENDIX B

Links to Data Web sites

Below is a listing of Web site links for accessing district-level data and information to be used by the SSD in developing this Plan:

- Academic Performance Index (API) http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api/index.htm
- California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) http://www.cde.ca.gov/demographics/coord/
- California English Language Development Test (CELDT) http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/celdt/celdt.html
- California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/cahsee/eval/eval.html
- California Standardized Test (CST) http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/index.html
- DataQuest http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/
- School Accountability Report Card (SARC) http://www.cde.ca.gov/ope/sarc/
- Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program http://www.cde.ca.gov/statetests/star/index.html
- Guide and Template for the Single Plan for Student Achievement http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/singleplan.asp
- Guide and Template for the Local Educational Agency Plan http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/le/

APPENDIX C

Science-Based Programs

Science-based research has provided evidence of effectiveness for the following school-based prevention programs. Each of the listed programs have been identified as a research-validated, exemplary, or model program by one or more of the following agencies: The California Healthy Kids Resource Center, the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, United States Department of Education's Expert Panel, or the University of Colorado's Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence. Some of these programs are also discussed in the California Department of Education's publication Getting Results. Web sites where additional information can be found about each program's description, target population, and outcomes are listed below. The code in the last column of the menu provides a quick reference indicating which websites have information specific to each program.

A: < http://www.californiahealthykids.org > (California Healthy Kids Resource Center: Research-Validated Programs)

B: < http://www.colorado.edu/cspv/blueprints/model/overview.html >(University of Colorado: Blueprints)

C: < http://modelprograms.samhsa.gov/model_prog.cfm >(Center for Substance Abuse Prevention: Model Programs)

D: < http://www2.edc.org/msc/model.asp > (United States Department of Education: Expert Panel)

E: < http://www.gettingresults.org/ > (Getting Results)

	School-Ba	ased Prograi	ms				
	Intended prograr	m outcomes and tar	get grade levels	. See resear	ch for proven e	ffectiveness	
Name	Grade	Alcohol	Tobacco	Drugs	Violence	Youth Dev.	Website
Across Ages	4 to 8	х	х	х		х	C,
All Stars™	6 to 8	Х	х	х			A, C, D, E
ATLAS (Athletes Training and Learning to Avoid Steroids)	9 to 12	х		х			A, B, C, D,
Border Binge Drinking Reduction Program	K to 12	х			х		C,
Child Development Project/Caring School Community	K to 6	х		х	х	х	A, B, C, D, E
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Child Sexual Abuse	Families				х		С
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Child Traumatic Stress	Families				х		С
Coping Power	5 to 8			х	х		С
DARE To Be You	Pre-K	х		х	х	х	A, C,
Early Risers Skills for Success	K to 6				х		C,
East Texas Experiential Learning Center	7	Х	х	х	х	х	С
Friendly PEERsuasion	6 to 8	Х					С
Good Behavior Game	1 to 6				х		B, C
High/Scope Perry Preschool Project	Pre-K				х	х	B, C, E
I Can Problem Solve	Pre-K				х		A, B, D
Incredible Years	K to 3				х	х	B, C,
Keep A Clear Mind	4 to 6	Х	Х				A, C,
Leadership and Resiliency	9 to 12					Х	C,
Botvin's LifeSkills™ Training	6 to 8	Х	Х	х	х		A, B, C, D, E
Lions-Quest Skills for Adolescence	6 to 8					Х	D, C, E
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	6 to 10		Х				A, D, E

Olweus Bullying Prevention	K to 8				х		B, C, E
Positive Action	K to 12	х	х	х	X	х	C, D,
Project ACHIEVE	Pre-K to 8			-	X	X	A, C, E
Project ALERT	6 to 8	х	х	х			A, C, D, E
Project Northland	6 to 8	X		X			A, B, C, D, E
Project PATHE	9 to 12					х	B, E
Project SUCCESS	9 to 12	х	х	Х			C,
Project Toward No Drug Abuse (TND)	9 to 12	X	X	X	х		C,
Project Toward No Tobacco Use (TNT)	5 to 8		X				A, C, D, E
Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies (PATHS)	K to 6		^		х		A, B, C, D,
Protecting You/Protecting Me	K to 5	х					C,
Quantum Opportunities	9 to 12					х	B, E
Reconnecting Youth	9 to 12	х		Х	х	X	A, C, E
Responding in Peaceful and Positive Ways	6 to 12			X	X		C, D, E
Rural Educational Achievement Project	4				X		C
School Violence Prevention Demonstration Program	5 to 8				X		C
Second Step	Pre-K to 8			 	X	+	A, C, D,
Skills, Opportunities, and Recognition (SOAR): Seattle Social	TICKIOO						А, О, В,
Development Project:	K to 6	x			x	x	B, C, D, E
SMART Leaders	9 to 12			Х			C
Social Competence Promotion Program for Young Adolescents	0 10 12			_ ~			
(SCPP-YA)	5 to 7			х			С
Start Taking Alcohol Risks Seriously (STARS) for Families	6 to 8	х					C,
Students Managing Anger and Resolution Together (SMART)							-,
Team	6 to 9				x		C, D,
Too Good for Drugs	K to 12	х	х	х	X		C
	Community and Fam	ilv-based Pro	grams	u .	Į.	II.	
	Intended program out			research for	proven effectiv	reness	
Name	Target Population	Alcohol	Tobacco	Drugs	Violence	Youth Dev.	Website
Big Brothers Big Sisters	Community					х	B, E
Brief Strategic Family Therapy	Families			х			B, C,
CASASTART	Community			х	х		B, C, D,
Communities Mobilizing for Change	Community	х					C
Creating Lasting Family Connections	Families (6 to 12)	х		х		х	A, C, D,
Families And Schools Together (FAST)	Families				х		C,
Family Development Research Project	Families				Х		C
Family Effectiveness Training	Families				х		C,
Family Matters	Families	х	х				C
FAN (Family Advocacy Network) Club	Families			х		х	C
Functional Family Therapy	Families	х		х	х		B, E
Home-Based Behavioral Systems Family Therapy	Families				x		C
Houston Parent-Child Development Program	Parents					х	C
Multisystemic Therapy	Parents			х	х		B, C, E
Nurse-Family Partnership	Parents		х				B, C,
	1	L		1	l	-L	, -,

Parenting Wisely	Parents				х		C,
Preparing for the Drug Free Years	Parents (4 to 7)	х		х		х	A, B, C, D,
Project Star (Students Taught Awareness and Resistance):	Community	х	х	х			B, D, C, E
Midwestern Prevention Project							
Schools and Families Educating Children (SAFE Children)	Families					х	С
Stopping Teenage Addiction to Tobacco	Community		х				С
Strengthening Families Program	Families (4 to 6)	Х		х	Х	х	A, C, D,

APPENDIX D

Research-based Activities (4115 (a)(1)(C)):
The SSD must designate and list the research-based activities (strategies and activities developed by the SSD to supplement the science-based programs listed above) selected from below:

Research-based Activities	Research Summaries Supporting Each Activity:
After School Programs	Getting Results Part I, page 77-78
Conflict Mediation/Resolution	Getting Results Part I, page 63-65
Fall life and a section of the	Getting Results Part I, page 127-129
Early Intervention and Counseling	Getting Results Part I, page 72
	Getting Results Part I, page 100-101
Environmental Strategies	Getting Results Part I, page 106-107 Getting Results Part I, page 73-75
Environmental Strategies	Getting Results Part II, page 73-75 Getting Results Part II, page 47-48
	Getting Results Part II, page 76-79
	Getting Results Part II, page 76-79 Getting Results Part II, page 89-94
Family and Community Collaboration	Getting Results Part I, page 39-94 Getting Results Part I, page 104-105
	Getting Results Part II, page 26-28
	Getting Results Part II, page 33
Media Literacy and Advocacy	Getting Results Part II, page 45
Wedia Energy and Advocacy	Getting Results Update 3, page 22-24
Mentoring	Getting Results Part I, page 49
Weitering	County (Country Fago 10
Peer-Helping and Peer Leaders	Getting Results Part I, page 104-106
	Getting Results Update 3, page 43-45
Positive Alternatives	Getting Results Part I, page 79-81
	Getting Results Part I, page 104-106
	Getting Results Part I, page 108-109
School Policies	Getting Results Part I, page 66-72
	Getting Results Part II, page 22-23
Service Learning/Community Service	Getting Results Part I, page 81-83
	Getting Results Part II, page 46-47
Student Assistance Programs	Getting Results Part I, page 89-90
Tobacco-Use Cessation	Getting Results Part II, page 28
	Getting Results Part II, page 42-43
	Getting Results Part II, page 72-74
Youth Development/Caring Schools/Caring	Getting Results Part I, page 121-123
Classrooms	Getting Results Part I, page 136-137
	Getting Results Part II, page 28
	Getting Results Update 1

APPENDIX E

Promising or Favorable Programs

Either the United States Department of Education's Expert Panel, the University of Colorado's Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence, or the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention has identified the programs listed below as producing a consistent positive pattern of results (CSAP) or have evidence of a deterrent effect (Blueprints) but otherwise did not match all of the criteria established by these agencies to be identified as an exemplary or model program. The code in the last column of the chart provides a quick reference indicating which web sites have information specific to each program.

- A: < http://www.californiahealthykids.org > (California Healthy Kids Resource Center)
- B: < http://www.colorado.edu/cspv/blueprints/model/overview.html > (University of Colorado: Blueprints)
- C: < http://modelprograms.samhsa.gov/model_prog.cfm > (Center for Substance Abuse Prevention)
- D: < http://www2.edc.org/msc/model.asp > (United States Department of Education: Expert Panel)
- E: < http://www.gettingresults.org/ > (Getting Results)

Name	Grade, or Setting	Alcohol	Tobacco	Drug	Violence	Youth Dev.	Web site
Adolescent Alcohol Prevention	5 to 7			х			С
Trial							
Aggression Replacement	School				x		D
Training							
Aggressors, Victims, and	6 to 9				x		D
Bystanders							
Al'sPal's: Kids Making Healthy	Pre K to 2				x		D
Choices							
Baby Safe (Substance Abuse	Families	х	X	X			С
Free Environment) Hawaii							
Basement Bums	6 to 8		Х				A
Be a Star	K to 6					Х	С
Behavioral Monitoring and	7 to 8			X	x		С
Reinforcement	0 ""						
Bilingual/Bicultural Counseling	Communities	х		X			С
and Support Services	K (- 0						-
Bully Proofing Your School	K to 8				X		В
CAPSLE (Creating a Peaceful	K to 5				x		В
School Learning Environment) Club Hero	0						
Coca-Cola Valued Youth	6 School					X	C B
	School					X	В
Program (CCVYP) Colorado Youth Leadership	7	x				Х	С
Project	′	^				X	
Comer School Development	School	+				х	В
Program (CSDP)	301001					^	
Earlscourt Social Skills Group	K to 6					х	В
Program	100					^	
Effective Black Parenting	Families				х		В
Program (EBPP)	1 diffillos				^		
Facing History and Ourselves	7 to 12				х		D
Family Health Promotion	Families	х	х	х		х	C
FAST Track	1 to 6				х		В
Get Real About Violence	K to 12				X		C
Growing Healthy	K to 6	х	х	х			D
Intensive Protective Supervision	Community				Х		В
Program	33						1 -
Iowa Strengthening Families	Family	x					В
Program							1
Kids Intervention with Kids in	6 to 12	х	х	Х	х	Х	С
School (KIKS)							1
Let Each One Teach One	Mentoring					х	D
Linking the Interests of Families	1 to 5				х		B, C,
and Teachers (LIFT)							D

Lion's Quest Working Toward	5 to 9				Х		D
Peace							
Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program	7 to 12		Х				С
Michigan Model for	K to 12	Х	х	х			D
Comprehensive School Health							
Education	17 1 - 5						_
Open Circle Curriculum Parent-Child Assistance	K to 5 Families				Х	X	C
Program (P-CAP)	rannies	X		X			C
PeaceBuilders	K to 8				Х		D
Peacemakers Program	4 to 8				x		D
Peer Assistance and Leadership	9 to 12			Х	х		С
Peer Coping Skills (PCS)	1 to 3				х		В
Peers Making Peace	K to 12				X		D
Personal/Social Skills Lessons	6 to 12		X				Α
Preventive Intervention	6 to 8			Х			В
Preventive Treatment Program	Parents			Х	Х		В
Primary Mental Health Project	Pre k to 3						D
Project Alive	K to 12		Х				A
Project BASIS	6 to 8				Х	X	C
Project Break Away Project Life	9 to 12		X X	Х			A
Project PACE	4		^			х	C
Project SCAT	4 to 12		х				A
Project Status	6 to 12			х	х	х	В
Safe Dates	School				x		В
Say It Straight (SIS) Training	6 to 12	х					D
School Transitional	9 to 12			Х	х	х	В
Environmental Program							
Smokeless School Days	9 to 12		X				Α
Social Decision Making and Problem Solving	1 to 6	X			x		D
Social Decision Making and	K to 5					х	В
Problem Solving Program (SDM/PS)							
Socio-Moral Reasoning	School				х		В
Development Program							
(SMRDP)							
Storytelling for Empowerment	6 to 8	Х		Х			С
Strengthening Hawaii Families	Families			X			С
Strengthening the Bonds of Chicano Youth & Families	Communities	х		Х			С
Syracuse Family Development Program	Family				Х		В
Teams-Games-Tournaments Alcohol Prevention	10 to 12	x					С
Teenage Health Teaching Modules	6 to 12		x				C, D
Teens Tackle Tobacco! - Triple	6 to 12		х				Α
The Scare Program	School				Х	+	D
The Think Time Strategy	K to 9				X		D
Tinkham Alternative High	9 to 12					х	C
School							
Tobacco-Free Generations	8 to 12		X				Α
Viewpoints	9 to 12				х		В
Woodrock Youth Development Project	K to 8	х	Х	X		Х	С
Yale Child Welfare Project	Families				X		В

APPENDIX F

Appendix F: Sample School and Student Performance Data Forms

The following tables are included in Appendix F. These tables represent samples of ways to assist the school site council in representing and analyzing data and developing conclusions regarding improvement strategies:

- Table 1: Academic Performance by Ethnicity
- Table 2: Academic Performance by Grade Level
- Table 3: English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
- Table 4: Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
- Table 5: California English Language Development (CELDT) Data
- Table 6: Multi-Purpose

Table 1: Academic Performance by Ethnicity

					AC	CADE	MIC F	PERF	ORM	ANCE	INDI	EX (A	PI) D	ATA E	BY ST	UDE	NT G	ROUI	>			
API PROFICIENCY LEVE	L	Al	l Stude	nts		White			African merica		ŀ	Hispani	С		Asian							
		Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Number (#) and	#																					
Percent (%) At or Above Proficient	%																					
Number and Percent	#																					
At Basic	%																					
Number and Percent	#																					
Below Basic	%																					
Number and Percent	#																					
Far Below Basic	%																					
TOTAL NUMBER	#																					
AND PERCENT	%																					

onclusions indicated by the data:	

Table 2: Academic Performance by Grade Level

		AC.	ADEN	/IC P	ERFC	RMA	NCE	INDE	X (AF	I) DA	TA B	Y GR	ADE I	FOR _						_STI	JDEN	ITS
API PROFICIENCY LEVE	l	Gr	ade:		Gr	ade:		G	rade:		Gr	ade:										
	_	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3																		
Number (#) and	#																					
Percent (%) At or Above Proficient	%																					
Number and Percent	#																					
At Basic	%																					
Number and Percent	#																					
Below Basic	%																					
Number and Percent	#																					
Far Below Basic	%																					
TOTAL NUMBER	#																					
TOTAL NUMBER AND PERCENT	%																					

Conclusions indicated by the data:
1.
2.
3.

Table 3: English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

						EN	GLIS	SH-L/	ANG	JAG	E AR	TS P	ERF	ORM	ANC	E DA	ATA E	BY ST	ΓUDE	ENT (GRO	UP					
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All	Stude	nts		White)		Africar merica			Asian		F	lispani	ic		English earner		-Flue	lesigna ent En roficie	glish		ocioec Disad		_	tuden isabili	
	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Participation Rate																											
Number At or Above Proficient																											
Percent At or Above Proficient																											
AYP Target																											
Met AYP Criteria																											

Conclusions indicated by the data:		
1.		
2.		
3.		

Table 4: Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

							N	ИАТЬ	HEM/	ATIC	S PE	RFO	RMA	NCE	DAT	A BY	STL	IDEN	IT GF	ROUI	Ρ						
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All	Stude	nts		White)		Africar merica			Asian		F	lispani	ic		English .earner		-Flue	lesigna ent En roficie	glish		ocioec Disad			tuden isabili	
	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Participation Rate																											
Number At or Above Proficient																											
Percent At or Above Proficient																											
AYP Target																											
Met AYP Criteria																											

Conclusions indicated by the data:		
1.		
2.		
3.		

Table 5: California English Language Development (CELDT) Data

	(Califor	nia Enç	glish La	angua	ge Dev	elopm	ent Te	st (CE	LDT) F	Results
Grade	Adva	anced	Early Ad	dvanced	Interm	ediate	Ea Interm	irly ediate	Begii	nning	Number Tested
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
K											
1											
2											
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
Total											

Conclusions indicated by the data:
1.
2.
3.

Table 6: Multi-Purpose Form

Academic, Demographic, Grade Span, or Program Area:

								DA	λTA	BY														
Level																								
Achieved	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y r	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3

Conclusions indicated by the data:		
1.		
2.		
3.		